A Brønsted Acid-Amino Acid as a Synergistic Catalyst for Asymmetric List-Lerner-Barbas Aldol Reactions

Dhevalapally B. Ramachary* and Kodambahalli S. Shruthi

Catalysis Laboratory, School of C[he](#page-13-0)mistry, University of Hyderabad, Central University (PO), Hyderabad 500 046, India

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-13-0)STRACT: [Herein, for th](#page-13-0)e first time, a combination of L -amino acid, (R) -5,5-dimethyl thiazolidinium-4-carboxylate (L-DMTC) with simple Brønsted acid TFA is reported as the suitable synergistic catalyst for the List-Lerner-Barbas aldol (LLB-A) reaction of less reactive 2-azidobenzaldehydes with various ketones at ambient temperature to furnish the optically active functionalized (2-azidophenyl)alcohols with very good yields, dr's, and ee's. This method gives first time access to the novel azido-containing multifunctional compounds, which are applicable in material to medicinal chemistry. Chiral functionalized (2-azidophenyl)alcohols were transformed into different molecular scaffolds in good yields with high selectivity through Lewis acid mediated N a BH ₄ reduction, aza-Wittig and Staudinger reaction (azide reduction), followed by oxidative cyclizations, allenone synthesis, and click reaction, respectively. Chiral LLB-A products might become suitable starting materials for the total synthesis of natural products, ingredients, and

inhibitors in medicinal chemistry. The mechanistic synergy of L-DMTC with TFA to increase the rate and selectivity of LLB-A reaction in $DMSO-D₆$ is explained with the controlled and online NMR experiments.

■ INTRODUCTION

In 2000, List, Lerner, and Barbas discovered the L-prolinecatalyzed enamine-mediated asymmetric intermolecular aldol reaction, which has created a new realm in organic chemistry called as organocatalysis.¹ After this preliminary exploration, many chemists entered in this field to investigate the reaction scope by changing the c[at](#page-13-0)alysts along with co-catalysts and the different substrates of aldehydes and ketones.² In this connection, in order to increase the rate and selectivity of List-Lerner-Barbas aldol (LLB-A) reaction, (S) -BINOL,³ Schreiner's thiourea, 4 TBD salt, 5 ZnCl₂/CoCl₂, 6 and chiral Brønsted acid, $D-CSA^7$, are used as promoters along with [L-](#page-13-0)amino acid,^{1,2} (S)[-\(](#page-13-0)−)-5-(2-py[rr](#page-13-0)olidinyl)-1H-t[et](#page-13-0)razole,⁸ or Singh's prolin[a](#page-13-0)mide⁹ as catalysts (Scheme 1a). Even though various co[mbin](#page-13-0)ations of catalysts/co-catalysts were us[ed](#page-13-0) to achieve the best r[ate](#page-13-0) and selectivity for LLB-A reaction, at ambient conditions, so far it was not successful; either rate or selectivity is compromised (Scheme 1a).

In continuation of our recent interest in the development of supramolecular-organocatalysis and understanding the neighboring group participation in the pre- or post-transition state of organocatalytic reactions,¹⁰ herein, we have chosen less reactive, functionalized 2-azidobenzaldehydes as the substrate with different ketones to [stu](#page-14-0)dy the enhancement in reaction rate and selectivity of LLB-A reaction under the catalysis of different L-amino acids along with known/unknown co-catalysts (Scheme 1b). Our main focus in this study is to develop the chiral polyfunctionalized products, which contain a medicinally/materialistically important ortho-azido group under

Scheme 1. Summary of Previous Work and the Design Plan of This Work

a) Previous approaches for the asymmetric LLB-A reaction:

simple ambient catalytic conditions. 11 For this design, we have chosen sterically and electronically challenging orthoazidobenzaldehydes as the electro[phi](#page-14-0)le with cyclic/acyclic ketone as the pronucleophile with synergistic catalysis of Lamino acid and simple Brønsted acid (Scheme 1and Figure 1).

Received: December 27, 2015 Published: February 23, 2016

© 2016 American Chemical Society ²⁴⁰⁵ DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b02896 **ACS** Publications

Figure 1. Library of catalysts and co-catalysts screened in this study.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Synergistic Catalyst for Asymmetric List-Lerner-Barbas Aldol Reactions: Reaction Optimization. In the preliminary optimization, we have chosen LLB-A conditions of L -proline $(3a)$ $(20 \text{ mol } \%)$ as catalyst in DMSO for the reaction of o -azidobezaldehyde $(1a)$ with cyclohexanone $(2a)$ at room temperature for 24 h, which furnished the expected LLB-A product anti-(−)-5aa in only 16% yield with 91% ee in 4:1 dr (Table 1, entry 1). On obtaining very low yield, we further tested other amino acids such as *L*-thioproline $(3b)$, (R) -5,5dimethyl thiazolidinium-4-carboxylate $(L-DMTC)^{12}$ (3c), and

Table 1. Reaction Optimization^a

	CHO		N_3 òН Catalyst 3 O O Co-catalyst 4				
		٠		Solvent, RT			
	N_3 1a	2a				5aa	
entry	catalyst 3	co-cat. 4	solvent	t(h)	yield (%) ^b 5aa	ee (%) ^c	${\rm dr}^d$
1	3a		DMSO	24	16	91	4:1
$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	3b		DMSO	24	16	98	8:1
3	3c		DMSO	48	25	99	6:1
4	3c		NMP	48	15	98	6:1
5	3c		CH ₃ CN	48	17	90	6:1
6	3c		Neat	48	20	92	6:1
$\overline{7}$	3d		DMSO	24	90	91(96)	1:2
8 ^e	3e	4a	Neat	24	91	98	99:1
9	3e	4a	Neat	$\overline{2}$	95	83	5:1
10	3c	4a	DMSO	48	28	96	6:1
11	3c	4a	CH ₃ CN	96	16	79	6:1
12	3c	4b	DMSO	24	20	96	6:1
13	3 _c	4c	DMSO	24	88	99	17:1
14	3c	4d	DMSO	24	16	67	18:1
15	3c	4c	CH ₃ CN	24	47	92	17:1
16	3c	4c	$DMSO-D6$	24	74	99	17:1
17	3a	4c	DMSO	24	29	80	7:1
18	3b	4c	DMSO	24	58	91	18:1
19	3d	4c	DMSO	24	88	95(82)	3.5:1
20	3c	4e	DMSO	48	13	85	30:1
21 ^f	3a	4g	Hexane	24	53	>99	99:1
$22^{g,h}$	3a	4f	DMSO	24	72	97	99:1
239	3a	4f	DMSO	10	76	95	99:1
$24^{i,h}$	3a	4h	Neat	24	60	>99	8:1
25 ⁱ	3a	4h	Neat	24	88	99	7.7:1

a Unless otherwise mentioned, all reactions were carried out with 1a (0.3 mmol), cyclohexanone 2a (4.2 mmol, 14 equiv), catalysts 3 (20 mol %) and 4 (40 mol %) in DMSO (0.3 M) at RT. ^bYield refers to the column-purified product of both the isomers. ^cee was determined by CSP-HPLC analysis, and parentheses values refer to the syn-isomer. dr was determined based on ¹H NMR analysis of crude compound or HPLC analysis. ^e Reaction was carried out using 3e (10 mol %) and 4a (10 mol %) under neat conditions at -35°C . $\overline{3}$ a and 4g (each 10 mol %) were used in hexane (0.14 M) . $83a(30 \text{ mol})$ and $4f(1 \text{ mol})$ were used in DMSO (1.25 M) . ^hReaction was carried out at 0 $^{\circ}$ C. ¹3a (15 mol %) and 4h (10 mol %) were used under neat conditions at RT.

 $(S)-(-)-5-(2-pyrrolidinyl)-1H-tetrazole⁸$ (3d) in DMSO at room temperature for 24−48 h, which also furnished low to good yields but with good ee and mod[era](#page-13-0)te dr (Table 1, entries $(2-7)$. Among the tested amino acids, L-DMTC $(3c)^{12}$ gave promising results in terms of ee (99%) and dr (6:1) (Table 1, entry 3). The designed LLB-A reaction under the cat[aly](#page-14-0)sis of Singh's prolinamide $(3e)^9$ with benzoic acid $(4a)$ as the cocatalyst under neat conditions at −35 °C for 24 h furnished the anti-(−)-5aa in 91% yiel[d](#page-13-0) with 98% ee and 99:1 dr (Table 1, entry 8). However, the same neat reaction at 25 °C for 2 h furnished the anti-(−)-5aa in 95% yield with decreased ee and dr (Table 1, entry 9). Even though the Singh's catalyst 3e furnished good yield and selectivity, the reaction temperature was too low to be applicable universally, which is deviating from the main goal that we are on the lookout for reactions at ambient conditions. When we performed the reaction at room temperature, the results were not appreciable (Table 1, entry 9). In this regard, as mentioned earlier, since L -DMTC $(3c)$ gave good selectivity but low yield/rate, we extended our investigations further to check whether the yield/rate of the reaction could be increased by using L-DMTC (p $K_a = \sim 9.76$) (3c) in combination with Brønsted acid co-catalysts such as benzoic acid (p $K_a = 11.1$) (4a), acetic acid (p $K_a = 12.3$) (4b), trifluoroacetic acid ($pK_a = 3.45$) (4c), and trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (p $K_a = 0.3$) (4d) (Table 1, entries 10−14). Among these Brønsted acid co-catalysts, when used in pair with L-DMTC $(3c)$ $(20 \text{ mol } \%)$, trifluoroacetic acid $(4c)$ $(40 \text{ mol } \%)$ seems to be ideal in providing good yield/rate as well as excellent ee and dr (Table 1, entry 13). The same combination of catalysts 3c/4c when used in a different solvent acetonitrile furnished a low yield with low selectivity, whereas, in DMSO- D_6 , only the yield was reduced (Table 1, entries 15 and 16). Synergistic combination of 3c (20 mol %) with 20 or 30 mol % of 4c also in DMSO at 25 °C for 24 h furnished 5aa with reduced yield (76−78%), but the selectivity remained unchanged (results not shown in Table 1). There is no LLB-A reaction observed even after 48 h at 25 °C under only TFA (4c)-catalysis in DMSO (not shown in Table 1).

After realizing the importance of the role played by TFA $(pK_a = 3.45)$ (4c) in boosting the yield/rate, we were curious to know how well it works when used along with L-proline (3a) ($pK_a = 12.3$) and L-thioproline ($pK_a = \sim 9.33$) (3b) (Table 1, entries 17 and 18) and disappointed to find that, even though there was an unsatisfactory increase in yield, there was also a noticeable drop in ee. In a similar manner, TFA $(4c)$ with proline-tetrazole (pK_a = 11.26) 3d-catalysis also gave disappointing results with decreased yield and slightly increased ee/dr (Table 1, entry 19). Switching back to L-DMTC (3c), we also wanted to check the contribution to yield and selectivity by a chiral Brønsted acid, D-CSA (pK_a = 5.61) (4e), as co-catalyst, but it furnished only poor yield with moderate selectivity (Table 1, entry 20). Simultaneously, we were interested in screening hydrogen-bond donating compounds such as (S)- BINOL ($pK_a = 13.22$) (4f), Schreiner's thiourea ($pK_a = 8.5$) (4g), and TBD salt ($pK_a = 25.98$) (4h) as the co-catalysts along with L-proline ($pK_a = 12.3$) (3a), as they were recently reported in the literature to be better co-catalysts when used in combination with amino acids. Utilization of Schreiner's thiourea (4g) as co-catalyst in hexane solvent provided only moderate yield with excellent ee and dr, whereas (S)-BINOL (4f) furnished moderate yield and excellent dr but with little lower ee, while TBD salt (4h) under neat reaction conditions resulted in comparable yield and ee with the catalyst 3c/4c

 N_3 OH O

and Unless otherwise mentioned, all reactions were carried out with 1a (0.3 mmol), acetone 2b (1.5 mmol, 14 equiv), catalysts 3 (20 mol %) in DMSO (0.25 M) at RT. ^bYield refers to the column-purified product. ^cee was determined by CSP-HPLC analysis. ^ddr was determined based on ¹H NMR or HPLC analysis. ^e3c (20 mol %) and 4c (40 mol %) were used. ^{*f*}3a (15 mol %) and 4h (10 mol %) were used. ^{*8*3e (10 mol %) and 4a (10 mol %) (30 mol %) and 4a (10 mol %)} were used. h 3e (10 mol %) was used. ⁱReaction was carried out at −35 °C. ^{*i*}Reaction was carried out at 4 °C.

system but with lesser dr (Table 1, entries 21−25). Finally, the best optimized reaction conditions were found to be the combination of catalysts 3c [\(20 m](#page-1-0)ol %) and 4c (40 mol %) in DMSO at room temperature. The acidity of the Brønsted acid co-catalysts, structure of the amino acid, and solvent nature seem to be playing an essential role in controlling the rate and selectivity, which will be discussed elaborately in the mechanistic section.

After completing the optimization studies and arriving at the above said best optimization conditions for the cyclohexanone, we shifted our attention onto the acyclic ketone acetone (2b). The LLB-A reaction on acetone (2b) using the best optimization conditions of 3c/4c (Table 1 entry13) revealed that the expected aldol product $(+)$ -5ab was obtained only in 21% yield and 85% ee, along wit[h 21%](#page-1-0) of the elimination product 7ab (Table 2, entry 1). The reason behind the formation of the elimination product 7ab might be due to the acidity of the Brønsted acid co-catalyst 4c. Even the second best optimized conditions, namely, 3a with 4h under neat reaction conditions, furnished the aldol product $(+)$ -5ab in moderate yield and ee along with the elimination product 7ab (Table 2, entry 2).

The catalyst system 3e/4a under neat reaction conditions at room temperature generated the aldol product $(+)$ -5ab in good yield but with moderate ee (Table 2, entry 3). The same reaction even in the absence of 4a also furnished the product in more or less the same yield with a slight increase in ee, along with a negligible amount of the bis-aldol product 6ab (Table 2, entry 4).¹³ The very same reaction at low temperature using the catalyst 3e in either the absence or the presence of 4a produced the ald[ol](#page-14-0) product in low yield with moderate ee, but with increased yield of the bis-aldol product (+)-6ab with 98−99% ee (Table 2, entries 5 and 6). With these unsatisfactory results for the acetone under the combination catalyst system, we shifted our focus back to the conventional usage of just the

amino acids instead. The aldol reaction of acetone $(2b)$ with *o*azidobenzaldehyde (1a) under L-proline (3a) catalysis in DMSO, furnished the product in 88% yield with 72% ee (Table 2, entry 7). The results were almost the same even in the other two solvents DMF and NMP (Table 2, entries 8 and 9). Under L-DMTC (3c) catalysis in DMSO solvent, the product was obtained in 65% yield with 87% ee and also the results were comparable in acetonitrile solvent, whereas the same catalyst in NMP as solvent furnished the product in poor yield but with the same ee (Table 2, entries 10−12). L-Proline based tetrazole (3d) also catalyzed the reaction in a similar manner (Table 2, entry 13). At the end, it is obvious from Table 2, that L -DMTC $(3c)$ catalysis in DMSO solvent is the best optimized condition for the acetone.

Asymmetric Synthesis of LLB-A Products 5ba−ia. After testing the applicability of the LLB-A reaction on the acyclic ketone, acetone $(2b)$, we focused our attention to study the scope of the reaction, utilizing various functionalized oazidobenzaldehydes. Initially, the reaction was carried out on halo-substituted o-azidobenzaldehydes 1b−1e with cyclohexanone $(2a)$ using the best optimized catalyst $3c/4c$ system to furnish the products $anti-(-)$ -**5ba**–**5ea** in very good yields with good dr and excellent ee (Table 3, entries 1−4). The LLB-A reaction of 2,4-diazidobenzaldehyde (1f) with 2a resulted in the product anti-(−)-5fa with [less yield](#page-3-0) but with increased dr and 98% ee (Table 3, entry 5). o-Azidobenzaldehydes possessing an electron-withdrawing group such as p -(trifluoromethyl) and p cyano gr[oups](#page-3-0) 1g and 1h also underwent the reaction to provide the products anti-(+)-5ga and anti-(-)-5ha in good yields with good dr and excellent ee (Table 3, entries 6 and 7). The presence of an electron-donating substituent like the dioxymethylene group on o-azidob[enzaldeh](#page-3-0)yde, 1i, also did not deter the reaction, but produced the product anti-(−)-5ia in somewhat lesser yield but with good dr and 97% ee (Table 3, entry 8). In this particular exercise, wherever we observed

Table 3. Scope of the L-DMTC (3c) and TFA (4c) Catalyzed LLB-A Reactions a

	O CHO		3c (20 mol%) 4c (40 mol%)	N_3 ŌН	$\frac{0}{1}$
	N_3 Fg 2a		DMSO (0.3 M), RT	5 Fg	
entry	product 5	t(h)	yield (%) ^b	dr^c	$ee^{d,e}$
1	QН N_3 O	24	75	17:1	99
	5ba F	24 ^f	91	17:1	>99
$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	N_3 ŌН O 5ca CI	36	89	17:1	98
3	N_3 ŌН O 5da СI	18	90	51:1	99
4	N_3 ŌН O 5ea Br	24	79	17:1	>99
5	N_3 ŌΗ O N_3 5fa	48 36 ^f	41 93	99:1 13:1	98 99
6	N_3 QН O F_3C 5ga	16	85	17:1	>99
7	N_3 ŌН O 5ha NC	18	90	21:1	>99
8	N_3 QН O 5ia	120 120 ^f	46 51	17:1 2.4:1	97 99

a Unless otherwise mentioned, all reactions were carried out with 1 (0.3 mmol), cyclohexanone 2a (4.2 mmol, 14.0 equiv), catalysts 3c (20 mol %) and 4c (40 mol %) in DMSO (0.3 M) at RT. ^bYield refers to the column-purified product. c dr was determined based on $\mathrm{^{1}H}$ NMR or HPLC analysis. ^dee was determined by CSP-HPLC analysis. ^eee mentioned for major isomer. ^{*f*}Reactions were carried out with 1 (0.3) mmol), cyclohexanone 2a (3 mmol, 10.0 equiv) with catalysts 3a (15 mol %) and 4h (10 mol %) under neat conditions at RT.

reduced yield, the reactions were also performed with the other catalyst system, 3a/4h, under neat conditions and found to furnish the products with better yields but with reduced dr in a few cases (Table 3, entries 1, 5, and 8).

Asymmetric Synthesis of LLB-A Products 5ac−ag. After studying the scope of the LLB-A reaction with various functionalized o-azidobenzaldehydes 1a−i, we extended our studies further in order to check the generality of the reaction on various 4-substituted cyclohexanones 2c−g. The 4-alkyl substituted cyclohexanones 2c−2g on subjecting to LLB-A reaction with o -azidobenzaldehyde $(1a)$ using the optimized conditions resulted in the products anti-(−)-5ac to anti- (−)-5ag in 55−95% yields with good dr and 99% ee (Table 4, entries 1−5). Our choice of 4-substituted cyclohexanone

Table 4. Asymmetric Desymmetrization of 4-Substituted Cyclohexanone through L-DMTC (3c) and TFA (4c) Catalyzed LLB-A Reactions^a

a Unless otherwise mentioned, all reactions were carried out with 1a (0.3 mmol), cyclohexanone 2 (4.2 mmol, 14.0 equiv), catalysts 3c (20 mol %) and $4c$ (40 mol %) in DMSO (0.3 M) at RT. ^bYield refers to the column-purified product. ^cdr was determined based on ¹H NMR
or HPLC analysis. ^dee was determined by CSP-HPLC analysis. ^ee mentioned for major isomer.

substrates revealed itself the intriguing contribution imparted by the size and bulkiness of the 4-alkyl substituent in controlling the dr of the products in the LLB-A reaction. The size of the 4-alkyl substituent worked as a steric handle in controlling the dr of the product. As the size of the 4 substituent increased, the dr of the product increased too. Another fascinating element of surprise in this scenario was that we observed the exclusive formation of a single enantiomer, resulting in asymmetric desymmetrization¹⁴ of the 4-substituted cyclohexanone substrates under the given reaction conditions. The structure, regioselectivity, and absol[ute](#page-14-0) stereochemistry of the LLB-A products 5 and 6 were confirmed by NMR analysis and also finally confirmed by X-ray structure analysis on (−)-5ca and (−)-5ac as shown in Figures S1 and S2 (see Supporting Information-I).¹⁵

Controlled Experiments to Study the ortho-N₃ [Involvement in the](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02896/suppl_file/jo5b02896_si_001.pdf) Pre[-tr](#page-14-0)ansition State. After conducting studies toward the scope and generality of the LLB-A reaction with differently substituted o-azidobenzaldehydes and 4-

		CHO Fg 8	O ۰ 2a	Method-1 Method-2	ОН \circ 9		
			Method-1			Method-2	
entry	Fg	yield $(\%)^b$	ee $(\%)^{c,d}$	dr^{ϵ}	yield $(\%)^b$	ee $(\%)^{c,d}$	dr^e
	H(8a)	45 (9aa)	97	4.4:1	88 (9aa)	90	2.2:1
\mathcal{L}	$3-N_3(8b)$	47 (9ba)	96	6.3:1	90 (9ba)	93	3.4:1
\rightarrow \sim \sim	$4-N_3(8c)$.	16(9ca)	88	15.6:1	81 (9ca) $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{L} = \mathcal$	93	4.5:1

a Unless otherwise mentioned, all the reactions were carried out using the Method-1: $3c$ (20 mol %) and $4c$ (40 mol %) in DMSO (0.3 M), RT, 24 h; or Method-2: 3a (15 mol %) and 4h (10 mol %), neat, RT, 24 h. ^bYield refers to the column-purified product. ^cee was determined by CSP-HPLC analysis. ^dee mentioned for major isomer. ^edr was determined based on ¹H NMR or HPLC analysis.

substituted cyclohexanone substrates, at this juncture, we turned our attention to examine the involvement of the azido group of the o-azidobenzaldehydes in the pre-transition state, for which we performed some controlled experiments (Table 5). In the absence of the o-azido group, meaning simple benzaldehyde (8a) underwent LLB-A reaction under the catalysis of $3c/4c$ (Method-1) with cyclohexanone $(2a)$ to furnish the product $anti(+)$ -9aa in reduced (45%) yield with 97% ee and 4.4:1 dr (Table 5, entry 1). Likewise, 3 azidobenzaldehyde $(8b)$ gave the product anti- $(+)$ -9ba in almost similar yield and ee with slightly better dr, while 4 azidobenzaldehyde $(8c)$ provided the product anti- $(+)$ -9ca in low yield with a noticeable decrease in ee, though there was an increase in dr (Table 5, entries 2 and 3). These results on comparison with that of o-azidobenzaldehyde $(1a)$ (Table 1, entry 13) provide insight into the fact that indeed the o-azido group plays a vital role in the pre-transition state to contro[l the pr](#page-1-0)oduct formation.¹⁰ Moreover, these controlled experiments were also conducted via Method-2 (Table 5) using 3a/4h under neat condition[s,](#page-14-0) which provided the products $anti(+)$ -9aa to anti-(+)-9ca in more or less similar yields but with deteriorated ee and dr when compared with result for o-azidobenzaldehyde (1a) (Table 1, entry 25), which is again in accordance with our explanation. A more detailed description of the participation from the o-azido group will be provided later during the mech[anistic](#page-1-0) [s](#page-1-0)tudies. Structure and regioselectivity of LLB-A products 9 were obtained based on the NMR analyses and also by correlation with previous L-proline-catalyzed LLB-A reactions (Figure S3; see Supporting Information-I).²

Applications of Chiral LLB-A Products. Asymmetric Synthesis of syn-(+)-1,3-Diols. [As organic chemists,](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02896/suppl_file/jo5b02896_si_001.pdf) [we](#page-13-0) felt that our investigation would be incomplete and futile without applications. Subsequently, we sought after some application oriented studies on the derived LLB-A products. First, we wanted to reduce the LLB-A product, keto-alcohol anti- (−)-5aa, to the dihydroxy compound (+)-10aa, as chiral syndiols are important as chiral ligands for many asymmetric reactions. Simple sodium borohydride reduction at 0−25 °C on the keto-alcohol anti- $(-)$ -5aa furnished the syn-diol $(+)$ -10aa in 80% yield with 99% ee, but with reduced 9.5:1 dr (Scheme 2). On the contrary, when the same sodium borohydride reduction was carried out in the presence of 1.1 equiv of Lewis acid BEt₂OMe at −78 °C, the product was formed in 95% yield, absolutely as a single isomer with an optical purity of 99%, the reason being the interaction of the Lewis acid with both keto and hydroxy groups, resulting in the transfer of hydride from the face opposite to that of the hydroxy group so as to produce the syn-diol $(+)$ -10aa extraordinarily (Scheme 2). The syn-diol Scheme 2. Asymmetric Synthesis of $syn-(+)$ -1,3-Diol 10aa from *anti*- $(-)$ -5aa

(+)-10aa possessing an azido group could be easily converted to a chiral amino-diol, which could serve as potent chiral ligand (Scheme 2).

Asymmetric Synthesis of Functionalized Tetrahydroacridines from LLB-A Products. Second, the LLB-A products anti- (−)-5ad−5af were converted to alkyl substituted tetrahydroacridines (−)-11ad−11af, by treating them with 1.1 equiv of tributylphosphine in toluene at RT for 1 h. In this cascade reaction, tributylphosphine initially reacted with the azido group to generate an iminophosphorane intermediate, which underwent an in situ intramolecular aza-Wittig reaction with the keto group, followed by in situ elimination of the hydroxyl group as water, resulted in aromatization to furnish the alkyl substituted chiral (−)-tetrahydroacridines in very good yields, which were found to be materialistically and medicinally important compounds (Scheme 3).¹⁶ Three different tetrahy-

Scheme 3. Asymmetric Synthesis [of](#page-14-0) Functionalized Tetrahydroacridines 11

droacridines (−)-11ad−11af were furnished in good yields with high ee's, but the ee's of (−)-11ad−11af were slightly decreased compared to those of the starting LLB-A compounds, may be due to the epimerization because of the basic nature of reaction with tributylphosphine and tributylphosphine oxide. It is worth mentioning here that the crude

LLB-A products, anti-(−)-5ad−5af gave better yields of tetrahydroacridines (−)-11ad−11af than the corresponding purified products (Scheme 3).

Asymmetric Synthesis of Structurally Rigid 10-Membered Lactams. Third, [we decide](#page-4-0)d to convert the chiral LLB-A products into functionally rich and structurally rigid 10 membered lactams, which could prove to be effective chelating ligands for different metal ions (Scheme 4).¹⁷ Consequently,

Scheme 4. Asymmetric Synthesis of 10-Me[mb](#page-14-0)ered (−)-Lactam 15aa^a

^aReaction conditions: (a) mCPBA (3 equiv), NaHCO₃ (2 equiv), CH_2Cl_2 (0.1 M), RT, 5 h, 67%; (b) (i) 5% aq. NaOH, MeOH: H_2O (1:1), 80 °C, 1 h; (ii) 2,2-dimethoxypropane (1.4 equiv), p-TSA (0.01 equiv), MgSO₄ (0.007 equiv), acetone (0.1 M), RT, 4 h; (iii) $CH₂N₂$, Et₂O, 0−5 °C, 0.5 h, 70% for 3 steps; (c) InCl₃ (1.1 equiv), Et₃SiH (2.2 equiv), MeOH (0.05 M), 0 °C to RT, 2 h, 81%; (d) Bu₃P (3 equiv), dry $CH_3C_6H_5$ (0.1 M), RT, 1 h, 71%; (e) tBuOK (1.5 equiv), dry THF (0.03 M), RT, 24 h, 57%.

Baeyer−Villiger oxidation of anti-(−)-5aa with m-CPBA furnished the selective lactone (+)-12aa in 67% yield, which, on hydrolysis of the lactone, followed by protection of the resulting diol as acetonide and esterification of the carboxylic acid with ethereal diazomethane solution, generated the azidoester (−)-13aa in 70% yield. Reduction of the azido group to an amino group was effected with either indium chloride and triethylsilane or tributylphosphine to furnish the compound (−)-14aa, which, on reaction with potassium tertiary butoxide in dry THF, produced the 10-membered lactam (−)-15aa in 57% yield (Scheme 4).

Asymmetric Synthesis of Functionalized Allenone. After opening the doors to a few applications for the LLB-A products, still unsatisfied, we wanted to exploit more from the lactone $(+)$ -12aa. As a result, the lactone $(+)$ -12aa was

subjected to DIBAL-H reduction to furnish the triol $(+)$ -16aa, which was converted to the alcohol $(+)$ -17aa by protection of two of the hydroxy groups as acetonide. The propargylic alcohol (+)-19aa, prepared from the acetonide-alcohol (+)-17aa through IBX oxidation and Grignard addition, which, on one-pot oxidation, followed by subsequent isomerization by treatment with PCC, furnished the functionalized allenone (+)-20aa in good yield (Scheme 5), which could be an impending substrate for diverse organocatalytic reactions.¹⁸

Asymmetric Synthesis of Functionalized 1,2,3-Triazoles. In another direction, the lactone $(+)$ -12aa, possessin[g](#page-14-0) the functionally important azido group, on treatment with ethyl acetylene dicarboxylate underwent click reaction in water for 5 h to furnish the 1,2,3-triazole $(+)$ -21aa in 55% yield,¹⁹ whereas a prolonged reaction time (24 h) resulted in the formation of the 1,2,3-triazole as well as the hydrolysis of the lact[on](#page-14-0)e ring to give a carboxylic acid, which was esterified with ethereal diazomethane solution to furnish the 1,2,3-triazole diol-ester $(+)$ -22aa with increased yield, 80% (Scheme 6).¹⁹

Scheme 6. Application of Click Reaction on L[LB](#page-14-0)-A Compounds

Gram-Scale Synthesis of LLB-A Product (−)-5aa. With industrial applications in mind, herein, we have demonstrated the gram-scale synthesis of LLB-A product (−)-5aa (Scheme 7). By scaling up milligrams to 1.0 g of o-azidobenzaldehyde (1a) with 9.8 mL of cyclohexanone (2a) in 22.6 mL of [DMSO](#page-6-0) [at](#page-6-0) 25 °C for 24 h under the 3c/4c-catalysis furnished the LLB-A product (−)-5aa in 76% conversion with 72% yield, 33:1 dr, and 99% ee. Reaction rate slightly decreased and dr improved in gram-scale synthesis compared to milligram-scale (see Table 1, entry 13), may be due to the slight change in reaction volume (Scheme 7).

Scheme 5. Asymmetric Synthesis of Functionalized $(+)$ -Allenone [2](#page-1-0)[0aa](#page-6-0)^a

a
Reaction conditions: (a) DIBAL-H (4 equiv), $CH_3C_6H_5$ (0.5 M), RT, 4 h, 69%; (b) 2,2-dimethoxypropane (1.4 equiv), p-TSA (0.01 equiv), MgSO4 (0.007 equiv), acetone (0.15 M), RT, 4 h, 45%; (c) IBX (3 equiv), CH3CN (0.16 M), 80 °C, 3 h, 74%; (d) prop-2-yn-1-ylmagnesium bromide (1.5 equiv), dry THF (0.03 M), −10 °C to RT, 12 h, 63%; (e) PCC (2 equiv), CH₂Cl₂ (0.04 M), 0 °C to RT, 2 h, 54%.

^aReaction conditions: 1a (1.0 g, 6.8 mmol), 2a (9.8 mL, 95.2 mmol), 3c (219.2 mg, 20 mol %), 4c (208 μ L, 40 mol %), DMSO (22.6 mL, 0.3 M), RT, 24 h.; 76% conversion and 72% yield [90% yield based on the starting material consumed].

Reaction Mechanism. Herein, we attempted to explain the mechanistic aspects of the synergistic L-DMTC/TFA catalyzed LLB-A reaction based on the few controlled and NMR experiments (Scheme 8). We gathered substantial evidence

Scheme 8. Proposed Reaction Mechanism

from the NMR studies of catalyst combination 3c and 4c in DMSO- D_6 that the catalyst L-DMTC (3c) coordinates with two molecules of TFA (4c) and exists in the ionic cluster form 23a, which is in equilibrium with 23b (Scheme 8 and Figure S4; see SI-I). As entire signals in ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 3c with 4c are shifted compared to only 3c, which is due to the stro[ng i](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02896/suppl_file/jo5b02896_si_001.pdf)nteraction of both the functional groups of NH and $CO₂H$ with TFA (Figure S4; see Supporting Information-I). We have also done 3c-catalyzed LLB-A reactions of 1a and 2a in DMSO- D_6 with and without TFA $(4c)$ in an NMR tube to know the reaction relative rates (Scheme 9 and Figure S5; see SI-I). From the optimization studies, we came to know that DMSO facilitates the LLB-A reaction, most probably due to the [stab](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02896/suppl_file/jo5b02896_si_001.pdf)ilization of the synergistic catalyst cluster by interaction of the solvent molecules. From the above experiments, we are proposing that the cyclohexanone 2a reacts sharply with the catalyst cluster 23a to form the enamine 24a, which exists in equilibrium with 24b. The o-azidobenzaldehyde (1a) approaches the enamine 24a from its Re-face in such a way that it can anchor by interaction via electrostatic interactions and hydrogen bonding of the azido group and the aldehyde group with the sulfur and carboxylic acid of $L-DMTC$ (3c), respectively, so that the Re-face of the o-azidobenzaldehyde

Scheme 9. NMR Experiments To Find the Relative Rate of LLB-A Reaction under the 3c- and 3c/4c-Catalysis

(1a) is facing the Re-face of the enamine 24a for the aldol reaction.² Accordingly, this results in the formation of the iminium intermediate 26, which, on hydrolysis, furnishes the product [a](#page-13-0)nti-(-)-5aa, regenerating the synergistic catalyst L-DMTC (3c) and TFA (4c). This LLB-A reaction rate and selectivity are completely controlled by catalysts $3c$ (p K_a = \sim 9.76) and 4c (pK_a = 3.45) structure and their dense interactions based on the pK_s values synergetic in DMSO, which is thoroughly utilized by o -azido group interactions.²⁰

■ **CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we have described for the first time the L-DMTC/ TFA-catalyzed asymmetric LLB-A reaction of cyclohexanones and acetone with less reactive o-azidobenzaldehydes at ambient conditions. The LLB-A reaction proceeds in very good yields with high selectivity using a synergistic combination of L-amino acid, L-DMTC with simple Brønsted acid TFA. Furthermore, we have demonstrated the application of chiral LLB-A products anti-(-)-5 in the synthesis of highly functionalized azidocontaining molecular scaffolds 9−22. We explained the mechanistic synergy of L-DMTC with TFA to increase the rate and selectivity of LLB-A reaction of 1 and 2 in DMSO-D_6 with the controlled and online NMR experiments. Further work is in progress to utilize chiral LLB-A products, $anti-(-)$ -5 as intermediates for the bioactive molecules synthesis.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Experimental Procedures for the LLB-A Reactions. General Methods. The ${}^{1}H$ NMR and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra were recorded at 400 and 100 MHz, respectively. The chemical shifts are reported in ppm downfield to TMS $(\delta = 0)$ for ¹H NMR and relative to the central CDCl₃ resonance (δ = 77.0) for ¹³C NMR. In the ¹³C NMR spectra, the nature of the carbons $(C, CH, CH₂, or CH₃)$ was determined by recording the DEPT-135 experiment and is given in parentheses. The coupling constants J are given in Hz. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel (particle size: 0.063− 0.200 mm). High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a micromass ESI-TOF MS. IR spectra were recorded on FT/IR-5300 and FT/IR-5700. The X-ray diffraction measurements were carried out at 298 K on an automated Enraf-Nonious MACH 3 diffractometer using graphite monochromated, Mo–K α (λ = 0.71073 Å) radiation with CAD4 software, or the X-ray intensity data were measured at 298

K on a SMART APEX CCD area detector system equipped with a graphite monochromator and a Mo-K α fine-focus sealed tube (λ = 0.71073 Å). For thin-layer chromatography (TLC), silica gel plates were used and compounds were visualized by irradiation with UV light and/or by treatment with a solution of p -anisaldehyde (23 mL), conc. H2SO4 (35 mL), acetic acid (10 mL), and ethanol (900 mL), followed by heating.

Materials. All solvents and commercially available chemicals were used as received. The highly functionalized ortho-azidobenzaldehydes 1a−i are prepared according to the literature procedures.²¹

General Procedure for L-DMTC and TFA Catalyzed LLB-A Reaction of Cyclohexanones 2 with 2-Azidobenza[lde](#page-14-0)hydes 1 (Procedure A). In an ordinary glass vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, containing L-DMTC (3c) (9.7 mg, 0.06 mmol) in DMSO (1.0 mL, 0.3 M), was added TFA (4c) (9.2 μL, 0.12 mmol). After stirring for a minute, 2-azidobenzaldehyde (1) (0.3 mmol) and cyclohexanone (2) (4.2 mmol) were added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C. Completion of the reaction was monitored using TLC. The crude reaction mixture was then worked up with aqueous NH4Cl solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated. Pure LLB-A products 5 were obtained through column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for L-Proline and Guanidinium Tetrafluoroborate Catalyzed LLB-A Reaction of Cyclohexanone 2 with 2-Azidobenzaldehyde 1 (Procedure B). In an ordinary glass vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, guanidinium tetrafluoroborate (4e) (6.2 mg, 0.03 mmol) and L-proline (3a) (5.2 mg, 0.045 mmol) were weighed together. Cyclohexanone (2) (3.0 mmol) was added to the solid mixture, followed by addition of 2-azidobenzaldehydes (1) (0.3 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 $^{\circ}$ C. Completion of the reaction was monitored using TLC. The crude reaction mixture was then worked up with aqueous NH4Cl solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated. Pure LLB-A products 5 were obtained through column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Preparation of Double Aldol Product 6ab (Procedure C). In a 10 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, prolinamide catalyst 3e (11.0 mg, 0.03 mmol) and $PhCO₂H$ (3.6 mg, 0.03 mmol) were added, followed by addition of acetone (2b) (1 mL, 0.3 M). The reaction mixture was cooled to −35 °C. After stirring the reaction mixture at −35 °C for 0.5 h, 2-azidobenzaldehyde (1a) (44.1 mg, 0.3 mmol) was added to it, and stirring was continued at the same temperature for 24 h. The crude reaction mixture was worked up with aqueous NH_4Cl solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times$ 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated. Pure LLB-A products 5ab and double-aldol addition product 6ab were obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for L-DMTC and TFA Catalyzed LLB-A Reaction of 2a with 8 (Procedure D). In an ordinary glass vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, containing L-DMTC $(3c)$ (9.7) mg, 0.06 mmol) in DMSO (1.0 mL, 0.3 M), was added TFA (4c) (9.2 μ L, 0.12 mmol). After stirring for a minute, aldehyde 8 (0.3 mmol) and cyclohexanone (2a) (4.2 mmol) were added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C. Completion of the reaction was monitored using TLC. The crude reaction mixture was then worked up with aqueous NH4Cl solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated. Pure LLB-A products 9 were obtained through column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for L-Proline and Guanidinium Tetrafluoroborate Catalyzed LLB-A Reaction of 2a with 8 (Procedure E). In an ordinary glass vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, guanidinium tetrafluoroborate (4e) (6.2 mg, 0.03 mmol) and L-proline (3a) (5.2 mg, 0.045 mmol) were weighed together. Cyclohexanone (2a) (3.0 mmol) was added to the solid mixture,

followed by addition of aldehydes 8 (0.3 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C. Completion of the reaction was monitored using TLC. The crude reaction mixture was then worked up with aqueous NH4Cl solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $(Na₂SO₄)$, filtered, and concentrated. Pure LLB-A products 9 were obtained through column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Reduction of LLB-A Products 5 (Procedure F). In a 10 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, compound anti-(−)-5aa (0.18 mmol) was dissolved in dry MeOH (3.6 mL, 0.05 M) and then cooled to ice salt temperature, followed by addition of $NabH_4$ (7.5 mg, 0.20 mmol) under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 0.5 h and then at RT for 1.5 h. The crude reaction mixture was worked up with aqueous NH4Cl solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated. Pure product (+)-10aa was obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

Lewis Acid Mediated syn-Selective Reduction of LLB-A Products 5 (Procedure G). In a 10 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, compound anti-(−)-5aa (0.18 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF:MeOH (4:1, 0.1 M) and then cooled to -78 °C, and BEt₂OMe (19.8 mg, 0.20 mmol), and NaBH₄ (7.5 mg, 0.20) mmol) were added to it under a nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring the reaction mixture at the same temperature for 4 h, the crude reaction mixture was worked up with slow addition of H_2O_2 solution and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated. Pure product (+)-10aa was obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Preparation of Chiral 1,2,3,4- Tetrahydroacridine 11 (Procedure H). Crude LLB-A product 5 (0.3 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (1 mL, 0.3 M), followed by addition of Bu₃P (82 μ L, 0.33 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 1 h. The crude reaction mixture was then worked up with aqueous $NH₄Cl$ solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na2SO4), filtered, and concentrated. Pure products 11 were obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 12aa (Procedure I). To a solution of chiral product anti-(−)-5aa (170 mg, 0.7 mmol) in dry DCM (7 mL, 0.1 M) were added successively NaHCO₃ (117 mg, 1.4 mmol) and mCPBA (362 mg, 2.1 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 5 h. The reaction mixture was worked up with aqueous $NAHCO₃$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $(Na₂SO₄)$, filtered, and concentrated. Pure product $(+)$ -12aa was obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 13aa (Procedure **J).** To a solution of chiral product *anti*- $(+)$ -12aa (300 mg, 1.1 mmol) in MeOH (6 mL) was added aqueous 5% NaOH solution (6 mL). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux at 80 °C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was worked up with aqueous 2 N HCl, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated. The crude hydrolyzed compound was dissolved in acetone (11 mL, 0.1 M), and MgSO₄ (1.0 mg, 0.008 mmol), p-TSA· H2O (1.89 mg, 0.011 mmol), and 2,3-dimethoxypropane (0.19 mL, 1.54 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 4 h. Anhydrous Na_2CO_3 (254 mg, 2.4 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture, then filtered and concentrated. To the crude reaction mixture dissolved in diethyl ether (10 mL, 0.05 M) was added diazomethane in ether solution at 0−5 °C for 30 min. Ether was evaporated. Pure product (−)-13aa was obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 14aa (Procedure K). Method-1: To a solution of chiral product (−)-13aa (33 mg, 0.1 mmol) in dry toluene (1 mL, 0.1 M) was added Bu_3P (75 μ L, 0.3 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was worked up with aqueous NH4Cl solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $(Na₂SO₄)$, filtered, and concentrated. Pure product (−)-14aa was obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate). Method-2: In an oven-dried round-bottom flask, equipped with magnetic stirring bar, containing $InCl₃$ (15 mg, 0.066 mmol) and triethylsilane (20 μ L, 0.13 mmol) in MeOH (2 mL, 0.05 M) at 0 °C was added (−)-13aa (0.06 mmol) dissolved in MeOH dropwise. The mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 2 h. The crude reaction mixture was then worked up with water, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) , filtered, and concentrated. Pure product (−)-14aa was obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 15aa (Procedure L). To a solution of chiral product (−)-14aa (20 mg, 0.06 mmol) in dry THF (2 mL, 0.03 M) was added KOtBu (10 mg, 0.09 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 24 h. The crude reaction mixture was treated with saturated aqueous NH4Cl solution, and then the aqueous layer was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) , and concentrated. Pure product (−)-15aa was obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 16aa (Procedure M). To a solution of chiral product (+)-12aa (655 mg, 2.5 mmol) in dry toluene (5 mL, 0.5 M) was added DIBAL-H (25% solution in toluene, 6.8 mL, 10.0 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 4 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with H₂O (10 mL), and sodium potassium (+)-tartrate tetrahydrate (7 g), and ethyl acetate (10 mL) were added. This mixture was stirred vigorously for 1 h and was again diluted with H_2O . The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 100 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) , and concentrated. Pure product $(+)$ -16aa was obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 17aa (Procedure N). To a solution of chiral product $(+)$ -16aa (360 mg, 1.35 mmol) in acetone (9 mL 0.15 M) were added $MgSO_4$ (1.0 mg, 0.009 mmol), p-TSA·H2O (2.3 mg, 0.013 mmol), and 2,3-dimethoxypropane (0.23 mL, 1.89 mmol). The reaction was stirred at 25 °C for 4 h. Anhydrous $Na₂CO₃$ (254 mg, 2.4 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture. The mixture was filtered and concentrated. Pure product $(+)$ -17aa was obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 18aa (Procedure **O).** To a solution of chiral product $(+)$ -17aa (240 mg, 0.8 mmol) in CH₃CN (5 mL 0.16 M) was added IBX (672 mg, 2.4 mmol), and the reaction was heated to reflux for 3 h. The reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated. Pure product $(+)$ -18aa was obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 19aa (Procedure P). Step 1: To a flame-dried flask were added 1.0 g of Mg, 24 mg of $HgCl₂$, and 4 mL of diethyl ether. Propargyl bromide (0.1 mL, 80% in toluene) was added, and the reaction was initiated by heating with a heat gun. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and a solution of 1.4 mL of propargyl bromide (80% in toluene) in 8 mL of ether was slowly added over 1 h. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 0.5 h and allowed to settle at 0 °C for 0.5 h to give an ∼1 M solution.

Step 2: To a solution of chiral product (+)-18aa (250 mg, 0.82 mmol) in dry THF (26 mL 0.03 M) at −10 °C was added propargyl magnesium bromide (1.2 mL, 1 M solution in ether, 1.2 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 30 min and then brought to room temperature and stirred for another 12 h. The crude reaction mixture was treated with saturated aqueous NH_4Cl solution, and then the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate

 $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated. Pure products (+)-19aa were obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 20aa (Procedure **Q).** To a solution of chiral product $(+)$ -19aa (70 mg, 0.2 mmol) in DCM (5 mL 0.04 M) at 0 °C was added PCC (86.2 mg, 0.4 mmol). The reaction mixture after being stirred at the same temperature for 30 min was brought to room temperature and stirred for an additional 2 h. The crude reaction mixture was passed through a pad of Celite and concentrated to dryness. Pure chiral products $(+)$ -20aa were obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 21aa (Procedure **R).** To a solution of chiral product $(+)$ -12aa $(25 \text{ mg}, 0.1 \text{ mmol})$ in H2O (1 mL, 0.1 M) was added diethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (25.5 mg, 0.15 mmol), and the reaction was stirred at 70 °C for 5 h. The crude reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $(Na₂SO₄)$, and concentrated. Pure products (+)-21aa were obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 22aa (Procedure S). To a solution of chiral product (+)-12aa (25 mg, 0.1 mmol) in H2O (1 mL, 0.1 M) was added diethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (25.5 mg, 0.15 mmol), and the reaction was stirred at 70 °C for 12 h. The crude reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $(Na₂SO₄)$, and concentrated. To the crude reaction mixture dissolved in diethyl ether (10 mL, 0.05 M) was added diazomethane in ether solution at 0−5 °C for 0.5 h. Ether was evaporated in a fume wood. Pure products $(+)$ -22aa were obtained by column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

General Procedure for the Gram-Scale Synthesis of (−)-5aa (Procedure T). In an 100 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, containing L-DMTC (3c) (219.2 mg, 1.36 mmol, 20 mol %) in DMSO (22.6 mL, 0.3 M), was added TFA (4c) (208 μ L, 2.72 mmol, 40 mol %). After stirring for a minute, 2 azidobenzaldehyde (1a) (1.0 g, 6.8 mmol) and cyclohexanone (2a) (9.8 mL, 95.2 mmol) were added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C for 24 h. The crude reaction mixture was then worked up with aqueous NH₄Cl solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 60 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated. Pure LLB-A product (−)-5aa (1.2 g, 72%) and starting material 1a (200 mg, 20%) were obtained through column chromatography (silica gel, mixture of hexane/ethyl acetate).

(S)-2-((R)-(2-Azidophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)cyclohexanone (5aa). Prepared following the procedures A and B and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 88% (64.7 mg). The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 95:5, flow rate 0.5 mL/min, λ = 254 nm), $t_R = 18.13$ min (major), $t_R = 25.32$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -4.3^\circ$ (c = 0.44, CHCl₃, 99% ee/de); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3507 (OH), 2931, 2855, 2126 (N₃), 1699 (C=O), 1584, 1490, 1447, 1310, and 751 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.40 (1H, dd, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz), 7.25 (1H, dt, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz), 7.10 (1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.06 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 5.08 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 3.87 (1H, br s, OH), 2.64−2.58 (1H, m), 2.42−2.36 (1H, m), 2.27 (1H, dt, J = 12.8, 5.6 Hz), 2.02−1.97 (1H, m), 1.75−1.72 (1H, m), 1.63−1.37 (4H, m); 13C NMR (CDCl3, DEPT-135) δ 215.2 $(C, C=O)$, 137.3 (C) , 132.6 (C) , 128.8 (CH) , 128.2 (CH) , 125.1 (CH), 117.8 (CH), 68.9 (CH), 57.1 (CH), 42.6 (CH₂), 30.4 (CH₂), 27.7 (CH₂), 24.7 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 268.1061 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{13}H_{15}N_3O_2$ Na 268.1062.

(R)-2-((R)-(2-Azidophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)cyclohexanone (5aa). Prepared following the procedures A and B and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:9) and isolated as a solid; Yield: 60% (44.2 mg); Mp 74–78 °C. The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak AD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 95:5, flow rate 0.5

mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 17.07$ min (minor), $t_R = 19.64$ min (major); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -144.5^{\circ}$ ($c = 0.29$, CHCl₃, 96% ee); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3496 (OH), 2937, 2860, 2115 (N₃), 1699 (C=O), 1584, 1490, 1452, 1293, 1129, 1074, 981, and 751 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.52 (1H, dd, J = 8.0, 0.5 Hz), 7.30 (1H, dt, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz), 7.16 (1H, dt, J = 7.5, 0.5 Hz), 7.12 (1H, dd, $J = 7.5$, 1.0 Hz), 5.53 (1H, d, $J = 1.0$ Hz), 3.16 (1H, s, OH), 2.75 (1H, m), 2.48−2.37 (2H, m), 2.11−2.06 (1H, m), 1.86−1.82 (1H, m), 1.76−1.50 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 214.9 (C, C=O), 135.5 (C), 132.4 (C), 128.07 (CH), 128.06 (CH), 124.6 (CH), 117.6 (CH), 66.3 (CH), 54.3 (CH), 42.6 (CH₂), 27.9 (CH₂), 26.0 (CH₂), 24.8 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 268.1061 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{13}H_{15}N_3O_2N_4$ 268.1062.

(S)-2-((R)-(2-Azido-4-fluorophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)cyclohexanone (5ba). Prepared following the procedures A and B and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a solid; Yield: 75% (59.0 mg); Mp 64−66 °C. The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 7.27$ min (major), $t_R =$ 12.40 min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -0.7^\circ$ ($c = 0.86$, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 17:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3457 (OH), 2959, 2920, 2849, 2120 (N₃), 1704 (C= O), 1594, 1457, 1375, 1299, and 959 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.45 $(1H, dd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz)$, 6.87 $(1H, dt, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz)$, 6.84 $(1H, dd, J)$ $= 11.6, 2.4$ Hz), 5.09 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 3.98 (1H, s, OH), 2.66–2.59 $(1H, m)$, 2.48−2.44 $(1H, m)$, 2.33 $(1H, dt, J = 12.8, 5.6 Hz)$, 2.10− 2.06 (1H, m), 1.83−1.80 (1H, m), 1.72−1.39 (4H, m); 13C NMR $(CDCI₃, DEPT-135)$ δ 215.2 (C, C=O), 162.5 (C, d, J = 247.0 Hz), 138.9 (C, d, J = 9.0 Hz), 129.8 (CH, d, J = 9.0 Hz), 128.5 (C, d, J = 3.0 Hz), 112.3 (CH, d, $J = 22.0$ Hz), 105.0 (CH, d, $J = 25.0$ Hz), 68.4 (CH), 57.1 (CH), 42.5 (CH₂), 30.3 (CH₂), 27.7 (CH₂), 24.7 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 286.0968 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{13}H_{14}FN_3O_2N$ a 286.0968.

(S)-2-((R)-(2-Azido-4-chlorophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)cyclohexanone (5ca). Prepared following the procedure A and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a solid. Yield: 89% (75.0 mg); Mp 63−65 °C. The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 7.45$ min (major), $t_R = 10.30$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -5.3^{\circ}$ (c = 0.87, CHCl₃, 98% ee, 17:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3523 (OH), 2959, 2942, 2866, 2126 (N₃), 1688 (C=O), 1573, 1490, 1408, 1304, 1266, 1096, 1047, and 871 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.42 (1H, d, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 7.15 (1H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.11 (1H, s), 5.09 $(1H, br d, J = 5.6 Hz)$, 3.96 $(1H, br d, J = 2.4 Hz)$, 2.65−2.59 $(1H, m)$, 2.48−2.45 (1H, m), 2.33 (1H, dt, J = 12.8, 5.6 Hz), 2.10−2.08 (1H, m), 1.84−1.81 (1H, m), 1.72−1.47 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 215.2 (C, C=O), 138.6 (C), 134.3 (C), 131.3 (C), 129.5 (CH), 125.4 (CH), 117.9 (CH), 68.5 (CH), 57.1 (CH), 42.6 (CH_2) , 30.4 (CH_2) , 27.7 (CH_2) , 24.8 (CH_2) ; HRMS m/z 302.0673 $(M + Na)$, calcd for C₁₃H₁₄ClN₃O₂Na 302.0672.

(S)-2-((R)-(2-Azido-5-chlorophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)cyclohexanone (5da). Prepared following the procedure A and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a liquid. Yield: 90% (76.0 mg); The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak AD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm), $t_R = 6.53$ min (major), $t_R = 7.72$ min (minor) [for minor synisomer], $t_R = 10.36$ min (major), $t_R = 12.35$ min (minor) [for major *anti*-isomer]; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -11.2^{\circ}$ ($c = 0.93$, CHCl₃, 99% ee and 51:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3512 (OH), 2937, 2860, 2120 (N₃), 2088, 1699 (C= O), 1479, 1408, 1293, 1112, 1036, 899, and 811 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.41 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz), 7.20 (1H, dd, J = 8.8, 2.4 Hz), 6.98 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 5.03 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 3.93 (1H, br s OH), 2.56−2.50 (1H, m), 2.40−2.34 (1H, m), 2.26 (1H, dt, J = 12.8, 6.0 Hz), 2.03−1.96 (1H, m), 1.76−1.73 (1H, m), 1.64−1.37 (4H, m); 13C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 214.9 (C, C=O), 135.8 (C), 134.4 (C), 130.6 (C), 128.7 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 118.9 (CH), 68.4 (CH), 57.1 (CH), 42.5 (CH₂), 30.2 (CH₂), 27.6 (CH₂), 24.6 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 302.0674 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{13}H_{14}C/N_3O_2N_4$ 302.0672.

(S)-2-((R)-(2-Azido-5-bromophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)cyclohexanone (5ea). Prepared following the procedure A and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a solid. Yield: 79% (77.0 mg); Mp 99−102 °C. The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak AD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 15.44$ min (major), $t_R = 17.93$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -13.1^{\circ}$ ($c = 0.60$, CHCl₃, > 99% ee, 17:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3457 (OH), 2937, 2866, 2126 (N₃), 1688 (C=O), 1474, 1293, and 1096 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.62 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz), 7.42 (1H, dd, $J = 8.4$, 2.0 Hz), 7.00 (1H, d, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 5.10 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 4.00 (1H, br s, OH), 2.63−2.57 (1H, m), 2.48−2.45 (1H, m), 2.33 (1H, dt, J = 12.8, 6.0 Hz), 2.11−2.07 (1H, m), 1.83−1.81 (1H, m), 1.72−1.47 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 215.0 $(C, C=0)$, 136.4 (C) , 134.7 (C) , 131.7 (CH) , 131.3 (CH) , 119.3 (CH), 118.3 (C), 68.4 (CH), 57.2 (CH), 42.6 (CH₂), 30.3 (CH₂), 27.6 (CH₂), 24.7 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 346.0167 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{13}H_{14}BrN_3O_2Na$ 346.0167.

(S)-2-((R)-(2,4-Diazidophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)cyclohexanone (5fa). Prepared following the procedures A and B. Purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 41% (35.0 mg). The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 5.99$ min (minor), $t_R = 6.55$ min (major) [for minor synisomer], $t_R = 9.37$ min (major), $t_R = 10.60$ min (minor) [for major *anti*-isomer]; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -26.5^{\circ}$ ($c = 0.50$, CHCl₃, 98% ee, 99:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3457 (OH), 2981, 2937, 2904, 2115 (N₃), 1748 (C=O), 1447, 1370, 1244, 1047, and 844 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.39 (1H, d, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 6.80 (1H, dd, $J = 8.4$, 2.0 Hz), 6.66 (1H, d, $J = 2.0$ Hz), 5.02 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 3.88 (1H, br s, OH), 2.59−2.53 (1H, m), 2.41−2.38 (1H, m), 2.27 (1H, dt, J = 12.8, 5.6 Hz), 2.04−1.99 (1H, m), 1.77−1.74 (1H, m), 1.66−1.37 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 215.2 (C, C=O), 140.7 (C), 138.8 (C), 129.6 (CH), 129.4 (C), 115.7 (CH), 108.3 (CH), 68.5 (CH), 57.1 (CH), 42.6 (CH_2) , 30.4 (CH_2) , 27.7 (CH_2) , 24.7 (CH_2) ; HRMS m/z 309.1072 $(M + Na)$, calcd for $C_{13}H_{14}N_6O_2Na$ 309.1076.

(S)-2-((R)-(2-Azido-4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)(hydroxy)methyl) cyclohexanone (5ga). Prepared following the procedure A and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a solid. Yield: 85% (80.0 mg); Mp 70−72 °C. The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 9.82$ min (major), $t_R =$ 12.32 min (minor); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = +4.5^{\circ}$ ($c = 1.01$, CHCl₃, >99% ee, 17:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3468 (OH), 2959, 2871, 2120 (N₃), 1693 (C= O), 1589, 1425, 1331, 1293, 1123, and 866 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.57 (1H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.35 (1H, br d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.27 (1H, s), 5.08 $(1H, d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz})$, 4.00 $(1H, br, oH)$, 2.58–2.56 $(1H, m)$, 2.40– 2.36 (1H, m), 2.26 (1H, ddt, J = 13.0, 6.0, 0.5 Hz), 2.04−2.00 (1H, m), 1.77−1.75 (1H, m), 1.62−1.45 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 214.9 (C, C=O), 138.0 (C), 136.6 (C), 131.1 (C, q, J = 33.7 Hz), 128.9 (CH), 123.4 (C, q, $J = 282.5$ Hz), 121.8 (CH, q, $J =$ 3.7 Hz), 114.6 (CH, q, J = 2.5 Hz), 68.6 (CH), 57.0 (CH), 42.6 (CH_2) , 30.5 (CH_2) , 27.7 (CH_2) , 24.7 (CH_2) ; HRMS m/z 336.0929 $(M + Na)$, calcd for $C_{14}H_{14}F_3N_3O_2Na$ 336.0936.

3-Azido-4-((R)-hydroxy((S)-2-oxocyclohexyl)methyl)benzonitrile (5ha). Prepared following the procedure A and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a solid; Yield: 90% (73.0 mg); Mp 78−80 °C. The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 9.37$ min (major), $t_R = 11.57$ min (minor) [for minor syn-isomer], $t_R = 12.71$ min (major), $t_R = 17.12$ min (minor) [for major *anti*-isomer]; $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -1.5^\circ$ ($c = 0.96$, CHCl₃, 99%) ee, 21:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3425 (OH), 2942, 2866, 2236 (CN), 2110 (N_3) , 1688 $(C=0)$, 1567, 1501, 1414, 1293, 1041, and 888 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.63 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.44 (1H, dd, J = 8.0, 0.8 Hz), 7.36 (1H, d, $J = 1.2$ Hz), 5.11 (1H, d, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 4.06 (1H, d, J = 2.4 Hz), 2.64–2.58 (1H, m), 2.44–2.41 (1H, m), 2.31 $(1H, dt, J = 12.8, 5.6 Hz), 2.10–2.06 (1H, m), 1.83 (1H, br s), 1.71–$ 1.49 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 214.6 (C, C=O), 138.4 (C), 138.2 (C), 129.2 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 120.8 (CH), 117.6 (C), 112.4 (C), 68.5 (CH), 56.7 (CH), 42.5 (CH₂), 30.4 (CH₂), 27.6 (CH₂), 24.6 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 293.1014 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{14}H_{14}N_4O_2Na$ 293.1014.

(S)-2-((R)-(6-Azidobenzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)(hydroxy)methyl) cyclohexanone (5ia). Prepared following the procedures A and B. Purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 46% (40.0 mg). The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = $90:10$, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 16.50$ min (minor), $t_R = 19.07$ min (major); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -9.0^\circ$ ($c = 0.20$, CHCl₃, 97% ee, 17:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3512 (OH), 2920, 2855, 2110 (N₃), 1688 (C=O), 1479, 1233, 1123, 1047, and 926 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 6.93 (1H, s), 6.63 $(1H, s)$, 5.97 $(2H, dd, J = 4.0, 1.0 Hz)$, 5.12 $(1H, d, J = 9.0 Hz)$, 2.58− 2.52 (1H, m), 2.49–2.44 (1H, m), 2.33(1H, ddt, J = 14.0, 6.0, 1.0 Hz), 2.09−2.04 (1H, m), 1.83−1.80 (1H, m), 1.72−1.37 (4H, m); 13C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 215.4 (C, C=O), 148.0 (C), 145.5 (C), 130.6 (C), 125.8 (C), 107.5 (CH), 101.7 (CH₂), 98.7 (CH), 68.3 (CH), 57.4 (CH), 42.6 (CH₂), 30.2 (CH₂), 27.7 (CH₂), 24.8 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 312.0955 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{14}H_{15}N_3O_4N_4$ 312.0960.

(R)-4-(2-Azidophenyl)-4-hydroxybutan-2-one⁻³(5ab).^{21d} Prepared following the procedures A and B. Purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:9) and isolated as a liquid; Yie[ld: 2](#page-14-0)1% (13.0 mg). The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel AD-H column (hexane/2 propanol = 85:15, flow rate 0.8 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 7.90$ min (major), $t_R = 8.76$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +26.8^\circ$ ($c = 0.07$, CHCl₃, 85%) ee); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3427 (OH), 2961, 2923, 2122 (N₃), 1704 (C= O), 1489, 1299, 1062, and 755 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.53 (1H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.31 (1H, dt, $J = 8.0$, 1.6 Hz), 7.16 (1H, t, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 7.12 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 5.33 (1H, dd, J = 9.6, 2.4 Hz), 4.01 (1H, br s, OH), 2.88 (1H, dd, J = 17.6, 2.8 Hz), 2.72 (1H, dd, J = 17.6, 9.6 Hz), 2.20 (3H, s, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 209.2 (C, C= O), 135.8 (C), 133.7 (C), 128.5 (CH), 126.8 (CH), 125.0 (CH), 117.7 (CH), 65.1 (CH), 50.4 (CH₂), 30.5 (CH₃); HRMS m/z 228.0752 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{10}H_{11}N_3O_2Na$ 228.0749.

(2S,4S)-2-((R)-(2-Azidophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-4-methylcyclohexanone (**5ac**). Prepared following the procedure A and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a solid; Yield: 80% (62.0 mg); Mp 62−64 °C. The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 95:5, flow rate 0.5 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 28.76$ min (major), $t_R = 36.47$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -27.4^{\circ}$ ($c = 0.71$, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 6:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3392 (OH), 2964, 2926, 2849 2120 (N₃), 1699 (C=O), 1589, 1496, 1452, 1304, and 756 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.43 (1H, dd, J $= 7.6, 0.8$ Hz), 7.34 (1H, dt, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz), $7.19 - 7.14$ (2H, m), 5.18 $(1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz)$, 3.58 $(1H, d, J = 3.2 Hz)$, 2.83 $(1H, q, J = 8.8 Hz)$, 2.49−2.45 (2H, m), 2.17−2.10 (1H, m), 2.01−1.93 (1H, m), 1.72− 1.58 (2H, m), 1.36−1.25 (1H, m), 1.03 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz); 13C NMR $(CDCl₃, DEPT-135)$ δ 215.1 $(C, C=O)$, 137.3 (C) , 132.6 (C) , 129.0 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 125.2 (CH), 117.9 (CH), 69.5 (CH), 53.7 (CH), 38.3 (CH₂), 36.1 (CH₂), 33.6 (CH₂), 26.8 (CH), 18.9 (CH₃); HRMS m/z 282.1218 (M + Na), calcd for C₁₄H₁₇N₃O₂Na 282.1218.

(2S,4S)-2-((R)-(2-Azidophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-4-ethylcyclohexanone (5ad). Prepared following the procedure A and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a solid; Yield: 88% (72.0 mg); Mp 85−89 °C. The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 8.95$ min (major), $t_R = 10.22$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$ = -36.3° (c = 0.74, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 14:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3402 (OH), 2969, 2915, 2849, 2131 (N₃), 1709 (C=O), 1577, 1490, 1446, 1287, 1106, 1035, 766, and 679 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.44 $(1H, br d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.34 (1H, br t, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.18 (1H, t, J = 7.6$ Hz), 7.06 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 5.18 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz), 3.57 (1H, d, J = 2.8 Hz), 2.78 (1H, q, J = 5.6 Hz), 2.45 (2H, t, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.99−1.92 (1H, m), 1.83−1.68 (2H, m), 1.62−1.55 (1H, m), 1.48−1.30 (3H, m), 0.84 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 215.2 (C,

C=O), 137.3 (C), 132.6 (C), 129.1 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 125.2 (CH), 117.9 (CH), 69.5 (CH), 53.8 (CH), 38.5 (CH₂), 33.7 (CH), 33.6 (CH₂), 31.4 (CH₂), 25.6 (CH₂), 11.9 (CH₃); HRMS m/z 296.1378 $(M + Na)$, calcd for $C_{15}H_{19}N_3O_2Na$ 296.1375.

(2S,4S)-2-((R)-(2-Azidophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-4-propylcyclohexanone (**5ae**). Prepared following the procedure A and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a solid; Yield: 75% (64.6 mg); Mp 78−81 °C. The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 0.5 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 16.69$ min (major), $t_R = 18.57$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -20.8^{\circ}$ ($c = 0.88$, CHCl₃, >99% ee, 11:1 dr); IR (Neat): $ν_{max}$ 3402 (OH), 2959, 2926, 2843, 2127 (N₃), 2098, 1707 (C=O), 1577, 1484, 1296, 1172, 1035, 759, and 684 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(CDCI₃)$ δ 7.43 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.34 (1H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.19– 7.14 (2H, m), 5.18 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz), 3.60 (1H, s, OH), 2.78 (1H, q, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 2.45 (2H, t, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 1.98–1.91 (2H, m), 1.71–1.67 (1H, m), 1.60−1.54 (1H, m), 1.42−1.16 (5H, m), 0.88 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 215.2 (C, C=O), 137.3 (C), 132.6 (C), 129.0 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 125.2 (CH), 117.9 (CH), 69.4 (CH), 54.0 (CH), 38.5 (CH₂), 35.2 (CH₂), 34.1 (CH₂), 31.7 (CH₂), 31.6 (CH), 20.4 (CH₂), 14.1 (CH₃); HRMS m/z 288.1712 (M + H), calcd for $C_{16}H_{21}N_3O_2H$ 288.1712.

(2S,4S)-2-((R)-(2-Azidophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-4-(tert-butyl) cyclohexanone (5af). Prepared following the procedure A and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a solid; Yield: 55% (50.0 mg); Mp 145−148 °C. The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcpak AD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 7.33$ min (minor), $t_R =$ 23.30 min (major); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -73.6^{\circ}$ ($c = 0.23$, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 99:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3436 (OH), 2959, 2926, 2849, 2126 (N₃), 1720 (C= O), 1468, 1375, 1288, and 756 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.42 (1H, dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz), 7.36 (1H, dt, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz), 7.20–7.16 (2H, m), 5.21 (1H, d, J = 10.0 Hz), 3.18 (1H, br s, OH), 2.82−2.77 (1H, m), 2.61−2.52 (1H, m), 2.48−2.43 (1H, m), 2.08−2.01 (1H, m), 1.07− 1.67 (1H, m), 1.57–1.37 (3H, m), 0.80 (9H, s, 3 \times CH₃); ¹³C NMR $(CDCl₃, DEPT-135)$ δ 215.3 (C, C=O), 137.3 (C), 132.6 (C), 129.3 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 125.2 (CH), 118.1 (CH), 70.1 (CH), 55.5 (CH), 41.8 (CH), 39.2 (CH₂), 32.6 (C), 28.3 (CH₂), 27.1 (3 \times CH₃), 26.2 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 324.1688 (M + Na), calcd for C₁₇H₂₃N₃O₂Na 324.1688.

(2S,4S)-2-((R)-(2-Azidophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)-4-(tert-pentyl) cyclohexanone (5ag). Prepared following the procedure A and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a solid; Yield: 95% (90.0 mg); Mp 116−120 °C. The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcpak AD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 7.47$ min (minor), $t_R =$ 20.75 min (major); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = -48.4^{\circ}$ ($c = 0.29$, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 18:1 dr); IR (Neat): $ν_{max}$ 3386 (OH), 2970, 2926, 2877, 2120 (N₃), 2098, 1680 (C=O), 1496, 1310, 1041, and 767 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.42 $(1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.37 (1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.21–7.17 (2H, m), 5.21$ $(1H, dd, J = 9.5, 5.2 Hz), 3.17 (1H, d, J = 5.6 Hz), 2.86-2.80 (1H, m),$ 2.59−2.50 (2H, m), 2.00−1.97 (1H, m), 1.81−1.74 (1H, m), 1.61− 1.43 (3H, m), 1.29−1.11 (2H, m), 0.81 (3H, t, J = 7.6 Hz), 0.73 (3H, s, CH₃), 0.71 (3H, s, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 215.5 $(C, C=O)$, 137.3 (C) , 132.5 (C) , 129.3 (CH) , 128.6 (CH) , 125.2 (CH), 118.1 (CH), 70.1 (CH), 55.1 (CH), 39.5 (CH), 39.3 (CH₂), 35.0 (C), 32.3 (CH₂), 27.7 (CH₂), 25.4 (CH₂), 23.7 (CH₃), 23.6 (CH₃), 8.0 (CH₃); HRMS m/z 338.1845 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{18}H_{25}N_3O_2Na$ 338.1844.

(1R,5R)-1,5-bis(2-Azidophenyl)-1,5-dihydroxypentan-3-one ($6ab$). Prepared following the procedure C and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a solid; Yield: 21% (22.2 mg); Mp 58−62 °C. The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak AD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 85:15, flow rate 0.8 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 20.14$ min (major), $t_R = 23.48$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$ = +57.8° (c = 0.34, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 99:1 dr); IR

(Neat): ν_{max} 3403 (OH), 2126 (N₃), 1715 (C=O), 1584, 1490, 1446, 1293, and 745 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.54 (2H, dd, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz), 7.33 (2H, dt, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz), 7.18 (2H, dt, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz), 7.14 $(2H, dd, J = 8.0, 0.8 Hz)$, 5.40 $(1H, d, J = 2.8 Hz)$, 5.37 $(1H, d, J = 2.8 Hz)$ Hz), 3.33 (2H, br s, OH), 2.89 (2H, dd, J = 17.6, 2.8 Hz), 2.80 (2H, dd, J = 17.2, 2.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 210.8 (C, C= O), 136.0 $(2 \times C)$, 133.6 $(2 \times C)$, 128.7 $(2 \times CH)$, 126.9 $(2 \times CH)$, 125.1 (2 × CH), 117.9 (2 × CH), 65.3 (2 × CH), 50.3 (2 × CH₂); HRMS m/z 375.1182 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{17}H_{16}N_6O_3Na$ 375.1182.

(E)-4-(2-Azidophenyl)but-3-en-2-one (7ab).^{21b} Prepared following the procedures A and B. Purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:18) and isolated as a solid; [Yie](#page-14-0)ld: 21% (11.8 mg); Mp 88−92 °C; IR (Neat): ν_{max} 2126 (N₃), 2077, 1666 (C=O), 1644, 1622, 1479, 1293, 1260, 986, and 762 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.77 $(1H, d, J = 16.4 Hz)$, 7.60 $(1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz)$, 7.43 $(1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz)$, 7.21 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.16 (1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz), 6.70 (1H, d, J = 9.6 Hz), 2.40 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 198.6 (C, C= O), 139.3 (C), 137.6 (CH), 131.5 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 127.8 (CH), 126.0 (C), 125.0 (CH), 118.8 (CH), 27.1 (CH₃); HRMS m/z 210.0642 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{10}H_9N_3ONa$ 210.0643.

(S)-2-((R)-Hydroxy(phenyl)methyl)cyclohexanone (9aa). ^{9e} Prepared following the procedures D and E. Purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as [a l](#page-14-0)iquid; Yield: 45% (27.6 mg). The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 0.5 mL/min, λ = 220 nm), t_R = 16.72 min (major), $t_R = 20.79$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +14.1^\circ$ ($c = 0.37$, CHCl₃, 97% ee, 4.4:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3501 (OH), 2926, 2860, 1693 (C=O), 1452, 1299, 1129 and 1041; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.36−7.26 (5H, m), 4.78 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz), 3.97 (1H, s, OH), 2.65− 2.58 (1H, m), 2.50−2.46 (1H, m), 2.36 (1H, dt, J = 13.2, 6.0 Hz), 2.10−2.06 (1H, m), 1.80−1.76 (1H, m), 1.69−1.27 (4H, m); 13C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 215.5 (C, C=O), 140.9 (C), 128.3 (2 \times CH), 127.8 (CH), 127.0 (2 × CH), 74.7 (CH), 57.4 (CH), 42.6 (CH₂), 30.8 (CH₂), 27.8 (CH₂), 24.7 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 227.1048 $(M + Na)$, calcd for C₁₃H₁₆O₂Na 227.1048.

(S)-2-((R)-(3-Azidophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)cyclohexanone (9ba). Prepared following the procedures D and E. Purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 47% (34.6 mg). The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 0.5 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 13.67$ min (major), $t_R = 16.73$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +7.3^\circ$ (c = 0.44, CHCl₃, 96% ee, 6:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3485 (OH), 2937, 2860, 2400, 2110 (N₃), 1688 (C=O), 1600, 1490, 1441, 1288, 1224, 882, and 690 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.32 (1H, t, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.07 $(H, d, J = 7.5 \text{ Hz})$, 7.02 (H, s) , 6.96 $(H, dd, J = 7.5, 1.0 \text{ Hz})$, 4.77 $(1H, dd, J = 8.5, 1.5 Hz), 4.03 (1H, d, J = 2.0 Hz), 2.61–2.56 (1H, m),$ 2.49−2.45 (1H, m), 2.35 (1H, dt, J = 13.0, 5.5 Hz), 2.11−2.07 (1H, m), 1.82−1.79 (1H, m), 1.71−1.52 (3H, m), 1.35−1.25 (1H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 215.2 (C, C=O), 143.0 (C), 140.1 (C), 129.6 (CH), 123.7 (CH), 118.5 (CH), 117.4 (CH), 74.3 (CH), 57.2 (CH), 42.6 (CH₂), 30.7 (CH₂), 27.7 (CH₂), 24.6 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 268.1063 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{13}H_{15}N_3O_2Na$ 268.1062.

 $(S)-2-(R)-(4-Azidophenyl)(hydroxy/methyl)cyclohexanone (9ca).$ Prepared following the procedures D and E. Purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 16% (12.0 mg). The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 7.91$ min (major), $t_R = 9.54$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +13.1^\circ$ (c = 0.13, CHCl₃, 88% ee, 16:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3429 (OH), 2934, 2864, 2101 (N₃), 1703 (C=O), 1692, 1606, 1510, 1503, 1284, 1128, 1039, and 834 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.31 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.01 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 4.77 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz), 4.00 (1H, s, OH), 2.62−2.54 (1H, m), 2.51−2.46 (1H, m), 2.36 (1H, dt, J = 13.2, 6.0 Hz), 2.13−2.05 (1H, m), 1.82−1.78 (1H, m), 1.72−1.49 (4H, m); 13C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 215.4 (C, C=O), 139.5 (C), 137.8 (C), 128.5 (2 × CH), 119.0 (2 × CH), 74.2 (CH), 57.4 (CH), 42.6 (CH₂),

30.7 (CH₂), 27.7 (CH₂), 24.7 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 268.1062 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{13}H_{15}N_3O_2N_4$ 268.1062.

(1R,2R)-2-((R)-(2-Azidophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)cyclohexanol (10aa). Prepared following the procedures F and G. Purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:4) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 95% (46.8 mg). The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 95:5, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 254 nm), t_R = 9.92 min (major), t_R = 13.58 min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = +4.6° $(c = 0.71, CHCl₃, 99%$ ee, 99:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3273 (OH), 2928, 2856, 2121 (N₃), 1583, 1489, 1449, 1290, 1128, 1076, 1006, 907, and 731 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.44 (1H, br d, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.32 (1H, tt, $J = 8.0$, 1.2 Hz), 7.17 (1H, t, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 7.13 (1H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 4.92 (1H, d, J = 9.2 Hz), 4.36 (2H, br s, OH), 3.64 (1H, dt, J = 9.6, 4.4 Hz), 1.97−1.94 (1H, m), 1.71−1.63 (2H, m), 1.54−1.51 (1H, m), 1.34−1.16 (3H, m), 1.07−0.91 (2H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 137.2 (C), 134.0 (C), 128.8 (CH), 128.7 (CH), 125.2 (CH), 117.7 (CH), 76.4 (CH), 75.3 (CH), 49.9 (CH), 35.2 (CH₂), 27.0 (CH₂), 25.1 (CH₂), 24.5 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 270.1216 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{13}H_{17}N_3O_2N_4$ 270.1218.

 $(S)-2-Ethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroacridine (11ad).$ Prepared following the procedure H and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:18) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 85% (54.0 mg). The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane/2 propanol = 90:10, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 5.80$ min (major), t_R = 7.14 min (minor); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ = -75.2° (c = 0.16, CHCl₃, 94%) ee); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 2958, 2924, 2874, 2855, 1622, 1493, 1235, 1155, 857, and 750 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.97 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.80 $(1H, s)$, 7.70 $(1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz)$, 7.60 $(1H, dt, J = 6.8, 1.6 Hz)$, 7.43 $(1H, dt, J = 6.8, 0.8 Hz), 3.23 (1H, ddd, J = 18.0, 5.6, 3.6 Hz), 3.13–$ 3.05 (2H, m), 2.60 (1H, dd, J = 16.4, 11.2 Hz), 2.17−2.12 (1H, m), 1.79−1.68 (1H, m), 1.67−1.51 (1H, m), 1.46 (2H, quin, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.02 (3H, t, J = 7.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 159.3 (C), 146.6 (C), 135.1 (CH), 130.6 (C), 128.5 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 127.1 (C) , 126.9 (CH), 125.5 (CH), 35.7 (CH), 35.6 (CH₂), 33.0 (CH₂), 29.0 (CH₂), 28.8 (CH₂), 11.5 (CH₃); HRMS m/z 212.1439 (M + H), calcd for $C_{15}H_{18}N$ 212.1439.

(S)-2-Propyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroacridine (11ae).^{16d,c} Prepared following the procedure H and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:18) and isolated as a liquid; Yie[ld: 7](#page-14-0)3% (49.3 mg). The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak AD-H column (hexane/2 propanol = 95:5, flow rate 0.5 mL/min, λ = 254 nm), t_R = 16.00 min (major), $t_R = 18.39$ min (minor); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -33.4^\circ$ ($c = 0.33$, CHCl₃, 96% ee); IR (Neat): $ν_{\text{max}}$ 2957, 2926, 2871, 2854, 1716, and 1456 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.90 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.66 (1H, s), 7.57 $(1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz)$, 7.49 $(1H, dt, J = 6.8, 0.8 Hz)$, 7.31 $(1H, dt, J = 6.8,$ 0.8 Hz), 3.13 (1H, ddd, J = 18.0, 5.6, 4.0 Hz), 3.02−2.89 (2H, m), 2.46 (1H, dd, J = 16.4, 10.4 Hz), 2.05−1.94 (1H, m), 1.75−1.68 (1H, m), 1.51−1.41 (1H, m), 1.40−1.25 (4H, m), 0.85 (3H, t, J = 6.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 159.1 (C), 146.3 (C), 135.1 (CH), 130.5 (C), 128.4 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 127.0 (C), 126.8 (CH), 125.4 (CH), 38.3 (CH₂), 35.7 (CH₂), 33.6 (CH), 32.8 (CH₂), 29.2 (CH₂), 20.0 (CH₂), 14.2 (CH₃); HRMS m/z 226.1596 (M + H), calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}N$ 226.1596.

)
(S)-2-(tert-Butyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroacridine (11af). ^{16c} Prepared following the procedure H and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:18) and isolated as a liquid; Yi[eld:](#page-14-0) 90% (64.6 mg). The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak AD-H column (hexane/2 propanol = 90:10, flow rate 0.5 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 13.63$ min (minor), $t_R = 17.78$ min (major); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -101.5^\circ$ ($c = 0.36$, CHCl₃, 98% ee); IR (Neat): $ν_{\text{max}}$ 2942, 2860, 1495, 1429, 1366, 1277, and 1261 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.01 (1H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 7.83 (1H, s), 7.69 (1H, d, J = 6.4 Hz), 7.61 (1H, t, J = 6.8 Hz), 7.43 (1H, t, J = 6.4 Hz), 3.32−3.27 (1H, m), 3.09−3.01 (2H, m), 2.71 (1H, dd, J = 12.8, 9.2 Hz), 2.19−2.15 (1H, m), 1.61−1.50 (2H, m), 1.00 (9H, s, 3 \times CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 159.3 (C), 146.2 (C), 135.5 (CH), 131.3 (C), 128.6 (CH), 128.0 (CH), 127.1 (C), 126.8

(CH), 125.6 (CH), 44.5 (CH), 34.1 (CH₂), 32.5 (C), 30.7 (CH₂), 27.2 ($3 \times CH_3$), 24.5 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 240.1752 (M + H), calcd for $C_{17}H_{21}NH$ 240.1752.

(S)-7-((S)-(2-Azidophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl)oxepan-2-one (12aa). Prepared following the procedure I and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:3) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 67% (122.5 mg). The enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC using a Daicel Chiralpak AD-H column (hexane/2-propanol = 85:15, flow rate 0.8 mL/min, $\lambda = 254$ nm), $t_R = 14.38$ min (minor), $t_R = 19.84$ min (major); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +68.5^\circ$ $(c = 0.86, CHCl₃, 99%$ ee, 99:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3386 (OH), 2953, 2920, 2866, 2126 (N_3) , 2093, 1726 $(C=0)$, 1584, 1496, 1457, 1304, 1178, 1052, and 756 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.51 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.37 (1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.19 (1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.16 (1H, d, J = 7.6 Hz), 5.00 (1H, d, $J = 6.4$ Hz), 4.36 (1H, t, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 3.20 (1H, br s, OH), 2.71–2.66 (1H, m), 2.58 (1H, t, J = 12.8 Hz), 1.94–1.89 (2H, m), 1.80−1.45 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 174.8 (C, $(C=O)$, 137.4 (C), 130.8 (C), 129.4 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 125.2 (CH), 118.0 (CH), 83.8 (CH), 70.9 (CH), 34.8 (CH₂), 30.5 (CH₂), 27.9 (CH₂), 22.8 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 284.1011 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{13}H_{15}N_3O_3N_4$ 284.1011.

Methyl 5-((4S,5S)-5-(2-Azidophenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)pentanoate (13aa). Prepared following the procedure J and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:10) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 70% (256.7 mg); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = -3.3^{\circ}$ ($c = 0.18$, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 99:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 2981, 2931, 2866, 2131 (N_3) , 1742 (C=O), 1584, 1485, 1457, 1381, 1299, 1233, 1162, 1090, and 1047 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.54 (1H, dd, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz), 7.34 (1H, dt, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz), 7.20−7.14 (2H, m), 4.94 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 3.75−3.70 (1H, m), 3.65 (3H, s, OCH3), 2.30 (2H, t, J = 7.6 Hz), 1.66−1.61 (5H, m), 1.55 (3H, s, CH3), 1.49 (3H, s, CH3), 1.42−1.26 (1H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 174.0 (C, C=O), 137.8 (C), 129.3 (CH), 129.1 (C), 128.1 (CH), 125.2 (CH), 118.0 (CH), 108.6 (C), 83.1 (CH), 77.0 (CH), 51.4 (OCH₃), 33.9 (CH₂), 31.0 $(CH₂)$, 27.4 (CH₃), 27.1 (CH₃), 25.5 (CH₂), 24.9 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 356.1581 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{17}H_{23}N_3O_4N$ a 356.1586.

Methyl 5-((4S,5S)-5-(2-Aminophenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)pentanoate (14aa). Prepared following the procedure K and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:9) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 81% (15.0 mg); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -10.6^{\circ}$ ($c = 0.17$, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 99:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3454 (NH₂), 3367 (NH₂), 2933, 1734 (C=O), 1618, 1499, 1460, 1436, 1378, 1305, 1228, 1168, 1089, 1036, 902, and 866 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.11 (1H, dt, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz), 7.02 (1H, dd, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz), 6.71 (1H, dt, J = 7.5, 1.0 Hz), 6.65 (1H, dd, J = 8.0, 1.0 Hz), 4.57 (1H, d, J = 8.5 Hz), 4.29 (2H, br s, NH₂), 4.22−4.18 (1H, m), 3.64 (3H, s, OCH₃), 2.28 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.65−1.55 (5H, m), 1.54 (3H, s, CH3), 1.46 (3H, s, CH3), 1.40−1.35 (1H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 174.0 (C, C= O), 145.9 (C), 129.3 (CH), 129.2 (CH), 119.6 (C), 118.1 (CH), 116.7 (CH), 108.4 (C), 83.4 (CH), 78.3 (CH), 51.4 (OCH₃), 33.9 (CH₂), 32.1 (CH₂), 27.3 (CH₃), 27.1 (CH₃), 25.8 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 330.1681 (M + Na), calcd for C₁₇H₂₅NO₄Na 330.1681.

(3aS,13bS)-2,2-Dimethyl-4,5,6,7,9,13b-hexahydrobenzo[b][1,3] dioxolo[4,5-d]azecin-8(3aH)-one (15aa). Prepared following the procedure L and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/ hexane (1:8) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 57% (9.4 mg); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$ = -5.0° (c = 0.14, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 99:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3452, 3370 (NH) , 2983, 2926, 2855, 1708 (C=O), 1617, 1499, 1460, 1379, 1233, 1169, 1087, 1037, 889, and 751 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.12 (1H, dt, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz), 7.03 (1H, dd, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz), 6.73 (1H, dt, J = 7.2, 0.8 Hz), 6.67 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 4.59 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz), 4.24–4.19 (1H, m), 2.32 (2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.67−1.56 (5H, m), 1.55 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.49 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.46−1.37 (2H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 178.5 (C, C=O), 145.8 (C), 129.3 (CH), 129.2 (CH), 119.6 (C), 118.2 (CH), 116.8 (CH), 108.4 (C), 83.4 (CH), 78.3 (CH_2) , 33.7 (CH_2) , 32.0 (CH_2) , 27.4 (CH_3) , 27.1 (CH_3) , 25.7 (CH_2) , 24.7 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 276.1594 (M + H), calcd for C₁₆H₂₂NO₃ 276.1599.

(1S,2S)-1-(2-Azidophenyl)heptane-1,2,7-triol (16aa). Prepared following the procedure M and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:2) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 69% (457.7 mg); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ = +97.5° (c = 0.36, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 99:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3360 (OH), 2930, 2857, 2122 (N₃), 1713 (C=O), 1583, 1488, 1450, 1284, 1046, and 752 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.42 (1H, d, J = 9.2 Hz), 7.34 (1H, dt, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz), 7.18−7.14 (2H, m), 4.72 (1H, d, J = 5.6 Hz), 3.74–3.70 (1H, m), 3.59 (2H, t, J = 6.4 Hz), 3.22 (1H, br s, OH), 2.78 (1H, br s, OH), 1.94 (1H, br s, OH), 1.56−1.44 (4H, m), 1.39−1.24 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 137.0 (C), 132.6 (C), 128.9 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 125.0 (CH), 118.0 (CH), 74.8 (CH), 72.5 (CH), 62.7 (CH₂), 32.7 (CH₂), 32.4 (CH₂), 25.5 (CH₂), 25.4 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 288.1323 (M + Na), calcd for C₁₃H₁₉N₃O₃ 288.1324.

5-((4S,5S)-5-(2-Azidophenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl) pentan-1-ol (17aa). Prepared following the procedure N and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:5) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 45% (185.5 mg); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = +100.3^{\circ}$ ($c = 0.28$, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 99:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3370 (OH), 2935, 2125 (N₃), and 1377 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.54 (1H, dd, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz), 7.35 $(1H, dt, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz), 7.19 (1H, dt, J = 7.6, 0.8 Hz), 7.16 (1H, dd, J)$ $= 8.0, 0.8$ Hz), 4.94 (1H, d, J = 8.4 Hz), 3.77–3.72 (1H, m), 3.61 (2H, t, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.66−1.57 (4H, m), 1.56 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.49 (3H, s, CH₃),1.41–1.24 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 137.9 (C), 129.3 (CH), 129.2 (C), 128.2 (CH), 125.2 (CH), 118.0 (CH), 108.6 (C), 83.3 (CH), 77.0 (CH), 62.8 (CH₂), 32.5 (CH₂), 31.3 $(CH₂)$, 27.4 (CH₃), 27.1 (CH₃), 25.75 (CH₂), 25.72 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 328.1636 (M + Na), calcd for C₁₆H₂₃N₃O₃Na 328.1637.

5-((4S,5S)-5-(2-Azidophenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl) pentanal (18aa). Prepared following the procedure O and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:12) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 74% (179.5 mg); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = +53.3^{\circ}$ ($c = 0.20$, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 99:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 2929, 2858, 2123 (N₃), 1723 (C=O), 1585, 1489, 1453, 1370, 1292, 1236, 1164, 1100, 1050, 886, and 753 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 9.74 (1H, s, CHO), 7.54 (1H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 7.35 (1H, t, J = 6.4 Hz), 7.21–7.15 (2H, m), 4.94 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 3.73 (1H, q, J = 8.4 Hz), 2.42 (2H, t, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.66–1.64 (4H, m), 1.55 (3H, s), 1.49 (3H, s), 1.40−1.26 (2H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 202.4 (CH, CHO), 137.8 (C), 129.3 (CH), 129.1 (C), 128.1 (CH), 125.2 (CH), 118.0 (CH), 108.6 (C), 83.1 (CH), 77.0 (CH), 43.7 (CH₂), 31.1 (CH₂), 27.4 (CH₃), 27.0 (CH₃), 25.5 (CH₂), 22.0 (CH_2) ; HRMS m/z 326.1469 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{16}H_{21}N_3O_3Na$ 326.1481.

8-((4S,5S)-5-(2-Azidophenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)oct-1-yn-4-ol (19aa). Prepared following the procedure P and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:7) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 63% (177.0 mg); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25} = +3.9^{\circ}$ ($c = 0.26$, CHCl₃); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3436 (OH), 3307, 2990, 2933, 2863, 2246, 2122 (N₃), 2084, 1584, 1492, 1454, 1372, 1299, 1230, 1169, 1103, 1046, and 884 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.54 (1H, br d, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.35 (1H, br t, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 7.19 (1H, br t, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 7.16 (1H, br d, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 4.95 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 3.75−3.73 (2H, m), 2.42−2.26 (2H, m), 2.04 (1H, br s), 1.99 (1H, br s), 1.74–1.60 (3H, m), 1.56 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.50 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.52−1.26 (5H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 137.9 (C), 129.3 (CH), 129.2 (C), 128.2 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 118.1 (CH), 108.6 (C), 83.3 (CH), 80.9 (C), 77.0 (CH), 70.8 (CH), 69.7 (CH), 36.0 (CH₂), 31.3 (CH₂), 27.4 (CH₃), 27.3 (CH₂), 27.1 (CH₃), 25.8 (CH₂), 25.6 (CH₂); HRMS m/z 366.1787 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{19}H_{25}N_3O_3N_4$ 366.1794.

8-((4S,5S)-5-(2-Azidophenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl) octa-1,2-dien-4-one (20aa). Prepared following the procedure Q and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:17) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 54% (37.0 mg); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +10.3^{\circ}$ ($c = 0.37$, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 99:1 dr); IR (Neat): $ν_{\text{max}}$ 2987, 2930, 2856, 2125 (N_3) , 1933, 1681 (C=O), 1585, 1490, 1452, 1370, 1293, 1237, 1171, 1041, and 754 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.53 (1H, dd, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz), 7.34 (1H, dt, J = 7.6 1.6 Hz), 7.18 (1H, dt, J = 7.6, 0.8 Hz), 7.15 $(1H, dd, J = 8.0, 0.8 Hz), 5.75 (1H, t, J = 6.8 Hz), 5.19 (2H, d, J = 6.4$ Hz), 4.93 (1H, d, J = 8.8 Hz), 3.75–3.67 (1H, m), 2.58 (2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.66−1.56 (4H, m), 1.54 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.49 (3H, s, CH₃), 1.36−

1.30 (2H, m); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 216.6 (C, HC=C= $CH₂$), 200.6 (C, C=O), 137.8 (C), 129.25 (CH), 129.18 (C), 128.1 (CH), 125.2 (CH),118.0 (CH), 108.6 (C), 96.6 (CH), 83.2 (CH), 79.3 (CH₂), 77.0 (CH), 38.9 (CH₂), 31.1 (CH₂), 27.4 (CH₃), 27.1 (CH_3) , 25.5 (CH_2) , 24.5 (CH_2) ; HRMS m/z 364.1633 $(M + Na)$, calcd for $C_{19}H_{23}N_3O_3N_4$ 364.1637.

Diethyl 1-(2-((S)-Hydroxy((S)-7-oxooxepan-2-yl)methyl)phenyl)- 1H-1,2,3-triazole-4,5-dicarboxylate (21aa). Prepared following the procedure R and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/ hexane (1:3) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 55% (23.7 mg); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$ = +17.2° ($c = 0.28$, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 99:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3496 (OH), 2984, 2940, 2864, 1733 (C=O), 1558, 1498, 1444, 1376, 1348, 1285, 1254, 1226, 1189, 1087, 1016, and 771 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.72 (1H, br d, J = 6.8 Hz), 7.65 (1H, br t, J = 6.8 Hz), 7.51 (1H, dt, $J = 7.6$, 1.2 Hz), 7.31 (1H, br d, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 4.49 (2H, q, $J = 6.8$ Hz), 4.41 (1H, br d, J = 6.0 Hz), 4.31–4.23 (1H, m), 4.26 (2H, q, J = 6.8 Hz), 3.00 (1H, br s, OH), 2.67−2.62 (1H, m), 2.56−2.48 (1H, m), 1.89−1.86 (2H, m), 1.74−1.67 (2H, m), 1.61−1.49 (2H, m), 1.45 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.16 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 174.4 (C, C=O), 159.6 (C, C=O), 158.0 (C, C=O), 139.0 (C), 137.0 (C), 133.9 (C), 133.6 (C), 131.5 (CH), 129.2 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 127.5 (CH), 82.8 (CH), 72.3 (CH), 63.2 (CH₂), 62.0 $(CH₂)$, 34.7 (CH₂), 30.9 (CH₂), 27.7 (CH₂), 22.7 (CH₂), 14.2 (CH₃), 13.6 (CH₃); HRMS m/z 454.1585 (M + Na), calcd for $C_{21}H_{25}N_3O_7Na$ 454.1590.

Diethyl 1-(2-((1S,2S)-1,2-Dihydroxy-7-methoxy-7-oxoheptyl) phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4,5-dicarboxylate (22aa). Prepared following the procedure S and purified by column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:2) and isolated as a liquid; Yield: 80% (37.0 mg); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$ = +23.0° (c = 0.17, CHCl₃, 99% ee, 99:1 dr); IR (Neat): ν_{max} 3481 (OH), 2982, 2941, 2864, 1731 (C=O), 1557, 1496, 1438, 1376, 1285, 1252, 1195, 1082, 1013, and 766 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.71 (1H, dd, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz), 7.63 (1H, dt, J = 7.6, 0.8 Hz), 7.47 (1H, dt, $J = 7.6$, 1.2 Hz), 7.27 (1H, dd, $J = 7.6$, 0.8 Hz), 4.48 (2H, q, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 4.34−4.22 (2H, m), 4.10 (1H, d, J = 5.6 Hz), 3.79−3.72 (1H, m), 3.63 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.27 (1H, br s), 2.67 (1H, br s), 2.23 (2H, dt, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz), 1.59−1.48 (2H, m), 1.45 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.41−1.30 (4H, m), 1.26 (3H, t, J = 5.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, DEPT-135) δ 174.1 (C, C=O), 159.6 (C, C=O), 158.6 (C, C=O), 138.91 (C), 138.89 (C), 133.5 (C), 133.4 (C), 131.6 (CH), 128.7 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 127.1 (CH), 74.0 (CH), 72.3 (CH), 63.4 (CH₂), 62.0 (CH₂), 51.4 (CH₃), 33.8 (CH₂), 32.1 (CH₂), 25.0 (CH₂), 24.5 (CH₂), 14.2 (CH_3) , 13.6 (CH_3) ; HRMS m/z 486.1847 $(M + Na)$, calcd for $C_{22}H_{29}N_3O_8$ Na 486.1852.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b02896.

Characterization data (including ¹H NMR spectra) for [products and additi](http://pubs.acs.org)onal schemes and fi[gures \(PDF\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02896) General methods for HPLC analysis and HPLC spectra (PDF) 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra (PDF)

[X-ray](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02896/suppl_file/jo5b02896_si_002.pdf) crystallographic data for (−)-5ca (CIF) X-ray crystallographic data [for \(](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02896/suppl_file/jo5b02896_si_003.pdf)−)-5ac (CIF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: ramsc@uohyd.ac.in and ramchary.db@gmail.com. Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:ramsc@uohyd.ac.in)peting fi[nancial interest.](mailto:ramchary.db@gmail.com)

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was made possible by a grant from the Department of Science and Technology (DST), SERB, New Delhi [Grant No.: EMR/2015/000860]. K.S.S. thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, for her research fellowship. We thank Dr. P. Raghavaiah for X-ray structural analysis.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Early references for LLB-A reaction; see: (a) List, B.; Lerner, R. A.; Barbas, C. F., III J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 2395−2396. (b) Notz, W.; List, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7386−7387.

(2) For the development of LLB-A reactions, see: (a) Sakthivel, K.; Notz, W.; Bui, T.; Barbas, C. F., III J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 5260− 5267. (b) Northrup, A. B.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6798−6799. (c) Bahmanyar, S.; Houk, K. N.; Martin, H. J.; List, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 2475−2479. (d) List, B.; Hoang, L.; Martin, H. J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2004, 101, 5839−5842. (e) Suri, J. T.; Ramachary, D. B.; Barbas, C. F., III Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1383−1385. (f) Mase, N.; Nakai, Y.; Ohara, N.; Yoda, H.; Takabe, K.; Tanaka, F.; Barbas, C. F., III J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 734−735. (g) Maya, V.; Raj, M.; Singh, V. K. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2593−2595. (h) Chandler, C. L.; List, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 6737−6739. (i) Ramasastry, S. S. V.; Albertshofer, K.; Utsumi, N.; Barbas, C. F., III Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1621−1624 and references cited therein.

(3) (S)-BINOL as additive for LLB-A reactions; see: (a) Zhou, Y.; Shan, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 9510−9512. (b) Zhou, Y.; Shan, Z. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 1671−1677. (c) Deng, D.; Liu, P.; Ji, B.; Fu, W.; Li, L. Catal. Lett. 2010, 137, 163−170. (d) Sutar, R. L.; Joshi, N. N. Synth. Commun. 2014, 44, 352−360.

(4) Schreiner's thiourea as additive for LLB-A reactions; see: (a) Reis, O.; Eymur, S.; Reis, B.; Demir, A. S. Chem. Commun. 2009, 1088− 1090. (b) Companyo, X.; Valero, G.; Crovetto, L.; Moyano, A.; Rios, R. Chem. - Eur. J. 2009, 15, 6564−6568. (c) El-Hamdouni, N.; Companyo, X.; Rios, R.; Moyano, A. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 1142− 1148. (d) Xue, X.-S.; Yang, C.; Li, X.; Cheng, J.-P. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 1166−1173.

(5) TBD's salt as additive for LLB-A reactions; see: (a) Martínez-Castañeda, A.; Poladura, B.; Rodriguez-Solla, H.; Concellón, C.; del Amo, V. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, 13, 3032−3035. (b) Martínez-Castañeda, Á.; Poladura, B.; Rodriguez-Solla, H.; Concellón, C.; del Amo, V. Chem. -Eur. J. 2012, 18, 5188−5190. (c) Martínez-Castañ eda, Á .; Rodríguez-Solla, H.; Concellón, C.; del Amo, V. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 10375− 10381. (d) Martinez-Castaneda, A.; Kedziora, K.; Lavandera, I.; Rodriguez-Solla, H.; Concellon, C.; del Amo, V. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 2598−2600.

(6) Metal Lewis acid $ZnCl₂/CoCl₂$ as additive for LLB-A reactions; see: (a) Gao, M. Z.; Gao, J.; Lane, B. S.; Zingaro, R. A. Chem. Lett. 2003, 32, 524−525. (b) Darbre, T.; Machuqueiro, M. Chem. Commun. 2003, 1090−1091. (c) Kofoed, J.; Machuqueiro, M.; Reymond, J.-L.; Darbre, T. Chem. Commun. 2004, 1540−1541. (d) Shen, K.; Liu, X.; Zheng, K.; Li, W.; Hu, X.; Lin, L.; Feng, X. Chem. - Eur. J. 2010, 16, 3736−3742.

(7) Chiral Brønsted acid D-CSA as additive for LLB-A reactions; see: (a) Wu, Y.-S.; Chen, Y.; Deng, D.-S.; Cai, J. Synlett 2005, 10, 1627− 1629. (b) Pihko, P. M.; Laurikainen, K. M.; Usano, A.; Nyberg, A. I.; Kaavi, J. A. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 317−328. (c) Bhowmick, S.; Kunte, S. S.; Bhowmick, K. C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2014, 25, 1292−1297. (8) For the (S)-(−)-5-(2-pyrrolidinyl)-1H-tetrazole 3d-catalyzed asymmetric reactions, see: (a) Torii, H.; Nakadai, M.; Ishihara, K.; Saito, S.; Yamamoto, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1983−1986. (b) Yamamoto, Y.; Momiyama, N.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5962−5963. (c) Wascholowski, V.; Knudsen, K. R.; Mitchell, C. E. T.; Ley, S. V. Chem. - Eur. J. 2008, 14, 6155−6165. (d) Maji, B.; Yamamoto, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 8714− 8717 and references cited therein..

(9) For the prolinamide catalyzed asymmetric LLB-A reactions, see: (a) Tang, Z.; Jiang, F.; Yu, L.-T.; Cui, X.; Gong, L.-Z.; Mi, A.-Q.; Jiang, Y.-Z.; Wu, Y.-D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 5262−5263. (b) Tang, Z.; Jiang, F.; Cui, X.; Gong, L.-Z.; Mi, A.-Q.; Jiang, Y.-Z.; Wu, Y.-D. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2004, 101, 5755−5760. (c) Tang, Z.;

Yang, Z.-H.; Chen, X.-H.; Cun, L.-F.; Mi, A.-Q.; Jiang, Y.-Z.; Gong, L.- Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 9285−9289. (d) Raj, M.; Vishnumaya; Ginotra, S. K.; Singh, V. K. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4097−4099. (e) Maya, V.; Raj, M.; Singh, V. K. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2593−2595.

(10) For supramolecular-organocatalysis or neighboring group participation in organocatalytic reactions, see: (a) Ramachary, D. B.; Sakthidevi, R. Chem. - Eur. J. 2009, 15, 4516−4522. (b) Ramachary, D. B.; Sakthidevi, R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 4259−4265. (c) Ramachary, D. B.; Sakthidevi, R.; Shruthi, K. S. Chem. - Eur. J. 2012, 18, 8008−8012. (d) Ramachary, D. B.; Madhavachary, R.; Prasad, M. S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 5825−5829. (e) Ramachary, D. B.; Reddy, P. S.; Prasad, M. S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 2014, 3076− 3081. (f) Ramachary, D. B.; Prasad, M. S.; Vijaya Laxmi, S.; Madhavachary, R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 574−580. (g) Ramachary, D. B.; Shruthi, K. S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 4300−4304. (h) Ramachary, D. B.; Shruthi, K. S.; Madhavachary, R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 6413−6418. From other research groups; see: (i) Martínez, A.; van Gemmeren, M.; List, B. Synlett 2014, 25, 961− 964. (j) Clarke, M. L.; Fuentes, J. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 930−933. (k) Mandal, T.; Zhao, C.-G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7714−7717. (l) Uraguchi, D.; Ueki, Y.; Ooi, T. Science 2009, 326, 120−123. (m) Sinha, D.; Perera, S.; Zhao, J. C.-G. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 6976−6979.

(11) (a) Rakotoson, J. H.; Fabre, N.; Jacquemond-Collet, I.; Hannedouche, S.; Fouraste, I.; Moulis, C. Planta Med. 1998, 64, 762−763. (b) Jacquemond-Collet, I.; Hannedouche, S.; Fabre, N.; Fouraste, I.; Moulis, C. Phytochemistry 1999, 51, 1167−1169. (c) Houghton, P. J.; Woldemariam, T. Z.; Watanabe, Y.; Yates, M. Planta Med. 1999, 65, 250−254. (d) Jacquemond-Collet, I.; Bessiere, J. M.; Hannedouche, S.; Bertrand, C.; Fouraste, I.; Moulis, C. Phytochem. Anal. 2001, 12, 312−319.

(12) For L-DMTC 3c-catalyzed reactions, see: (a) Notz, W.; Sakthivel, K.; Bui, T.; Zhong, G.; Barbas, C. F., III Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 199−201. (b) List, B.; Pojarliev, P.; Biller, W. T.; Martin, H. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 827−833. (c) Ramachary, D. B.; Chowdari, N. S.; Barbas, C. F., III Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 4233−4237. (d) Ramachary, D. B.; Barbas, C. F., III Chem. - Eur. J. 2004, 10, 5323−5331. (e) Steiner, D. D.; Mase, N.; Barbas, C. F., III Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3706−3710.

(13) Ramachary, D. B.; Mondal, R.; Madhavachary, R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 5094−5101.

(14) (a) Hayashi, Y.; Yamaguchi, J.; Sumiya, T.; Shoji, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1112−1112. (b) Ramachary, D. B.; Barbas, C. F., III Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1577−1580. (c) Luo, S.; Xu, H.; Li, J.; Zhang, L.; Mi, X.; Zheng, X.; Cheng, J.-P. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 11307− 11314. (d) Jiang, J.; He, L.; Luo, S.-W.; Cun, L.-F.; Gong, L.-Z. Chem. Commun. 2007, 736−738. (e) Luo, S.; Zhang, L.; Mi, X.; Qiao, Y.; Cheng, J.-P. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 9350−9352. (f) Joseph, J.; Ramachary, D. B.; Jemmis, E. D. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 2685− 2689.

(15) CCDC-1438716 for (−)-5ca and CCDC-1438717 for (−)-5ac contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.

(16) For the applications of functionalized tetrahydroacridines, see: (a) Basavaiah, D.; Rao, J. S.; Reddy, R. J. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 7379−7382 and references cited therein. (b) Ren, L.; Lei, T.; Gong, L.-Z. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11683–11685. (c) Bañón-Caballero, A.; Guillena, G.; Nájera, C. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 5349-5356. (d) Li, L.; Seidel, D. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 5064−5067.

(17) (a) Augustine, R. L.; Pierson, W. G. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 1070−1075. (b) Bartra, M.; Bou, V.; Garcia, J.; Urpi, F.; Vilarrasa, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 270−272. (c) Pascal, C.; Gueritte-Voegelein, F.; Thal, C.; Guenard, D. Synth. Commun. 1997, 27, 1501− 1507.

(18) (a) Cong, X.; Liu, K.-G.; Liao, Q.-J.; Yao, Z.-J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 8567−8571. (b) Liu, J.; Ma, S. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 10161−10167. (c) Ma, S.; Yang, Y.-C.; Toy, P. H. Synlett 2015, 26, 1732−1736. (d) Xiao, Y.; Sun, Z.; Guo, H.; Kwon, O. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2014, 10, 2089−2121 and references cited therein. (e) Yao, W.; Dou, X.; Lu, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 54−57.

(19) Kolb, H. C.; Finn, M. G.; Sharpless, K. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2004−2021.

(20) All pK_a values used in this paper were reported in DMSO. L-Thioproline (pK_a = ∼9.33) 3b and L-DMTC (pK_a = ∼9.76) 3c pK_a values are estimated approximately in DMSO from their reported values in water in comparison with that of L-proline 3a in water and DMSO.

(21) For the synthesis and application of substituted 2 azidobenzaldehydes 1a−i, see: (a) Stokes, B. J.; Vogel, C. V.; Pan, L. K.; Urnezis, M.; Driver, T. G. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2884−2887. (b) Stokes, B. J.; Liu, S.; Driver, T. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4702−4705. (c) Hu, J.; Cheng, Y.; Yang, Y.; Rao, Y. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 10133−10135. (d) Muchowski, J. M.; Maddox, M. L. Can. J. Chem. 2004, 82, 461−478. (e) Huang, X.; Li, P.; Li, X.-S.; Xu, D.-C.; Xie, J.-W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 4527−4529. (f) Li, W.-J.; Li, Q.; Liu, D.-L.; Ding, M.-W. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2013, 61, 1419−1426.